arXiv:math/0209205v2 [math.AG] 28 May 2003

# $A_{n-1}$ SINGULARITIES AND $n$KDV HIERARCHIES

ALEXANDER GIVENTAL

Abstract. According to a conjecture of E. Witten [21] proved by M. Kontsevich [13], a certain generating function for intersection indices on the Deligne – Mumford moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces coincides with a certain tau-function of the KdV hierarchy. The generating function is naturally generalized under the name the *total descendent potential* in the theory of Gromov – Witten invariants of symplectic manifolds. The papers [5, 4] contain two equivalent constructions, motivated by some results in Gromov – Witten theory, which associate a total descendent potential to any semisimple Frobenius structure. In this paper, we prove that in the case of K.Saito's Frobenius structure [17] on the miniversal deformation of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity, the total descendent potential is a tau-function of the $n$KdV hierarchy. We derive this result from a more general construction for solutions of the $n$KdV hierarchy from $n-1$ solutions of the KdV hierarchy.

## 1. Introduction: Singularities and Frobenius structures.

First examples of Frobenius structures were discovered by K. Saito [17] in the context of singularity theory. We begin with a brief overview of very few basic elements of his (rather sophisticated) construction and refer to [10] for further details.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^m, 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 0$ be the germ of a holomorphic function at an isolated critical point of multiplicity $N$. We will assume for simplicity that $f$ is weighted-homogeneous. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the parameter space of its miniversal deformation $F(x,\tau)$. Tangent spaces to $\mathcal{T}$ are naturally equipped with the algebra structure: $T_\tau\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{C}[x]/(F_x(\cdot,\tau))$. Pick a holomorphic weighted-homogeneous volume form $\omega_\tau$ on $\mathbb{C}^m$ possibly depending on the parameters $\tau$. Then the Hessians $\Delta(x)$ of critical points $x \in \text{crit}(F(\cdot,\tau))$ become well-defined. The corresponding residue paring

$$(\phi,\psi)_\tau = \sum_{x\in \text{crit}(F(\cdot,\tau))} \frac{\phi(x)\psi(x)}{\Delta(x)}$$

is known to define a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $T_\tau\mathcal{T}$ which depends analytically on $\tau$, extends across the bifurcation hypersurface without singularities and thus makes $T_\tau\mathcal{T}$ Frobenius algebras. The key point of K.Saito's theory is that there exists (according to a theorem of M. Saito, see [10]) a choice of $\omega$ (called *primitive*) that makes the family of Frobenius algebras a Frobenius structure. The latter means certain integrability property which will be recalled lated when needed. We refer to [3, 15] for a detailed account of numerous manifestations of the property — such as flatness of the metric $(\cdot,\cdot)$ for example.

---

Research is partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-0072658.

In the case of simple singularities a weighted-homogeneous volume form $\omega$ coincides with $dx_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx_m$ (up to a non-zero constant factor which in fact does not affect the metric $(\cdot,\cdot)$ ) and therefore $\omega$ is primitive.

In the example $A_{n-1}$ we set $m=1$, $f(x)=x^n/n$, $F(x,\tau)=x^n/n+\tau_1 x^{n-2}+...+\tau_{n-1}$, $\omega=dx$. The basis $\{\partial_{\tau_i}\}$ in $T_0\mathcal{T}$ is identified with the basis $x^{n-1-i}$ of the local algebra $H=\mathbb{C}[x]/(x^{n-1})$, and the residue pairing in this basis takes the form $(\partial_{\tau_i},\partial_{\tau_j})_0=\delta_{i+j,n-1}$. By the general theory, the following residue metric is flat:

$$(\partial_{\tau_i},\partial_{\tau_j})_\tau = \mathrm{Res}_{x=\infty} \frac{x^{2n-2-i-j}dx}{F'(x,\tau)}.$$

In Gromov – Witten theory, intersection indices in moduli spaces of genus-0 pseudo-holomorphic curves in a given compact symplectic manifold define a Frobenius structure on the cohomology space of the manifold. What is the structure behind intersection theory in spaces of higher genus pseudo-holomorphic curves, and is it possible to recover the totality of higher genus Gromov – Witten invariants from the Frobenius structure? While the answer to the first question is yet unknown, the answer to the second one seems to be positive in the semisimple case.

According to [5] *the total descendent potential* corresponding to a semisimple Frobenius manifold can be defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{q}) = C(\tau)\ \hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\ \Psi(\tau)\ \hat{R}_\tau\ \exp(U/z)\hat{}\ \prod_{i=1}^{N}\mathcal{D}_{A_1}(\mathbf{q}_i). \tag{1}$$

The ingredients of the formula will be explained later in the context of singularity theory. Roughly, the function $\ln\mathcal{D}$ is supposed to have the form of "a genus expansion" $\sum \hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}(\mathbf{q})$ where $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ depend on the sequence $\mathbf{q}$ of vector variables $q_0, q_1, q_2, ...$ taking values in the local algebra $H$ of the singularity. The Taylor coefficients of $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ are to play the role of genus-$g$ Gromov – Witten invariants and their gravitational descendents. The product term in (1) is the tensor product of N copies of the total descendent potential for the $A_1$-singularity (which is a tau-function of the KdV hierarchy and is discussed in Section 3). The product is considered as an "element of a Fock space". The $S$, $R$ and $\exp(U/z)$ are elements of a certain group (of loops in the variable $z$) acting on the elements of the Fock space via some "quantization" representation $\hat{}$. The loops $S(z)$, $R(z)$ and $\exp(U/z)$ (as well as $C$ and $\Psi$ which are a non-zero normalizing constant and an invertible matrix) are defined in terms of the Frobenius structure and in the case of singularities allow convenient descriptions via oscillatory integrals and their asymptotics. The ingredients of the formula depend on a choice of the point $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$ which has to be semisimple, i. e. the function $F(\cdot,\tau)$ must have $N$ non-degenerate critical points. For example, $U$ is the diagonal matrix of the critical values of $F(\cdot,\tau)$. As it is explained in [5], the resulting function $\mathcal{D}$ does not depend on $\tau$, satisfies the so called $3g-2$-*jet condition*, *Virasoro constraints* and has the correct (in the sense of [3]) genus-0 part $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$. [1]

In this paper, we will prove that in the case of $A_{n-1}$-singularities, the function (1) is a tau-function of the $n$KdV-hierarchy (Theorem 1).

In Section 2, we describe the quantization formalism underlying (1). The KP, KdV and nKdV-hierarchies are described in Section 3 in terms of the so called *vertex operators* of the infinite dimensional Lie algebra theory [11]. In Section 4, we reconcile the notations of representation theory and singularity theory and state

---

[1] According to a result from [4], a function with these properties, when exists, is unique.

Theorem 1. In Sections 5 and 6, we study conjugations of the vertex operators by the operators $S$ and $R$. The corresponding Theorems 2 and 3 are the technical heart of the paper and provide surprisingly simple and general formulations in terms of singularity theory. In Section 7, we show how various central constants (somewhat neglected in the preceeding computations) are governed by a certain multiple-valued closed 1-form $\mathcal{W}$ on the complement to the discriminant. The form $\mathcal{W}$ appears to be a new object in singularity theory, and its properties play a key role in the proof of Theorem 1. In Section 8, we discuss in detail the "Fock spaces" intertwined by the operators $S$ and $R$ and describe analyticity properties of our vertex operators. In Section 9, we state and prove Theorem 4 which interprets the formula (1) as a device transforming some solutions of the KdV-hierarchy into solutions of the $n$KdV-hierarchy (and which contains Theorem 1 as a special case). Relationships with "$W_n$-gravity theory" are discussed in Section 10 (Theorem 5). The appendix, included mostly for aesthetic considerations, contains a direct treatment of genus-0 consequences of Theorem 1.

Slightly generalizing the methods of the present paper, one can prove that the total descendent potential (1) corresponding to an ADE-singularity satisfies an integrable hierarchy described explicitly in terms of vertex operators and very similar to the famous hierarchy of Kac – Wakimoto [12] constructed via representation theory of loop Lie algebras. We will return to this subject in [9]

*Acknowledgments.* Substantial part of the paper was written during our stay at IHES (Paris) and MPI (Bonn) in Summer '02. We would like to thank these institutions for hospitality, and the National Science Foundation — for financial support. We are also thankful to E. Frenkel and P. Pribik for their interest and stimulating discussions, to A. Schwarz for consultations on $W_n$-gravity, and especially to T. Milanov for several useful observations.

## 2. The quantization formalism.

Consider the local algebra $H = \mathbb{C}[x]/(f_x)$ as a vector space with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form defined by the residue pairing

$$(a, b)_0 = \mathrm{Res}_{x=0}\, a(x)b(x)dx_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx_m / f_{x_1}...f_{x_m}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = H((z^{-1}))$ denote the space of Laurent series in one indeterminate $z^{-1}$ with coefficients in $H$. We equip $\mathcal{H}$ with the even symplectic form

$$\Omega(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint (\mathbf{f}(-z), \mathbf{g}(z))_0 dz = -\Omega(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{f}). \tag{2}$$

The polarization $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$ defined by the lagrangian subspaces $\mathcal{H}_+ = H[z]$, $\mathcal{H}_- = z^{-1}H[[z^{-1}]]$ identifies $(\mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ with the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$. Then the standard quantization convention associates to constant, linear and quadratic hamiltonians $G$ on $(\mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ differential operators $\hat{G}$ of order $\leq 2$ acting on functions on $\mathcal{H}_+$. More precisely, let $\{q_\alpha\}$ be a coordinate system on $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\{p_\alpha\}$ — the dual coordinate system on $\mathcal{H}_-$ so that the symplectic structure in these coordinates assumes the Darboux form $\Omega = \sum_\alpha p_\alpha \wedge q_\alpha$. For example, when $H$ is the standard one-dimensional Euclidean space then

$$\mathbf{f} = \sum q_k z^k + \sum p_k (-z)^{-1-k} \tag{3}$$

is such a coordinate system. In a Darboux coordinate system the quantization convention reads

$$(q_\alpha)\hat{} := q_\alpha/\sqrt{\hbar}, \quad (p_\alpha)\hat{} := \sqrt{\hbar}\,\partial/\partial q_\alpha, \tag{4}$$

$$(q_\alpha q_\beta)\hat{} := \frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{\hbar}, \quad (q_\alpha p_\beta)\hat{} := q_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\beta}, \quad (p_\alpha p_\beta)\hat{} := \hbar \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_\alpha \partial q_\beta}. \tag{5}$$

The quantization is a representation of the Heisenberg algebra of constant and linear hamiltonians, but it is only a *projective* representation of the Lie algebra of quadratic hamiltonians on $\mathcal{H}$ to the Lie algebra of differential operators. For quadratic hamiltonians $F$ and $G$ we have

$$\{F, G\}\hat{} = [\hat{F}, \hat{G}] + \mathcal{C}(F, G)$$

where $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is the Poisson bracket, $[\cdot,\cdot]$ is the commutator, and $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocycle characterized by the properties that

$$\mathcal{C}(p_\alpha p_\beta, q_\alpha q_\beta) = 1 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta, \quad \mathcal{C}(p_\alpha^2, q_\alpha^2) = 2\ ,$$

and $\mathcal{C} = 0$ on all other pairs of quadratic Darboux monomials.

The differential operators act on formal functions (with coefficients depending on $\hbar^{\pm 1/2}$) on the space $\mathcal{H}_+$ of vector-polynomials $\mathbf{q} = q_0 + q_1 z + q_2 z^2 + ...$ with the coefficients $q_0, q_1, q_2 ... \in H$. We will often refer to such functions as *elements of the Fock space.*

Consider now linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$ which preserve the symplectic structure and commute with multiplication by $z$. They form a twisted version of the loop group $\mathcal{L}GL(H)$. It consists of the loops $M(z)$ satisfying $M^t(-z)M(z) = \mathbf{1}$ where $^t$ means transposition with respect to the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$. Quantized operators $\hat{M}$ are defined as $\exp(\ln M)\hat{}$ (though the domain of $\hat{M}$ in the "Fock space" may depend on $M$). The operators $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{R}$ in the formula (1) are of this nature. Moreover, the loops $S(z)$ and $R(z)$ are triangular in the sense that $S(z) = \mathbf{1} + S_1 z^{-1} + S_2 z^{-2} + ...$ and $R(z) = \mathbf{1} + R_1 z + R_2 z^2 + ....$

## 3. EXAMPLE: KP AND KDV HIERARCHIES.

The goal of this section is to reconcile the conventional theory of integrable hierarchies with the quantization formalism of the previous section in the example of KdV (i.e. 2KdV) hierarchy. The $n$KdV hierarchies will be treated in this paper as "reductions modulo $n$" of the KP hierarchy. The KP hierarchy has an abstract description as a sequence of commuting flows on the semi-infinite grassmannian with the time variables $x_1, x_2, x_3, ....$ The "bosonic-fermionic correspondence" identifies the space of semi-infinite forms with the symmetric algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ in the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, ...)$. Under the Plücker embedding, points of the grassmannian are transformed into 1-dimensional subspaces spanned by certain functions of $\mathbf{x}$, and the KP flows are defined tautologically as time translations. The equations of the KP hierarchy thus assume the form of *Hirota quadratic equations* describing the image of the grassmannian under the Plücker embedding.

It will be convenient for us to use the following *vertex operator construction* of the Hirota quadratic equations. According to [11], Ch. 14, a function $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ (which we will assume to have the form $\exp \sum \hbar^{g-1}\phi^{(g)}(\mathbf{x})$) satisfies the KP hierarchy iff

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\zeta=\infty} d\zeta\ e^{\sum_{j>0} \zeta^j (x_j' - x_j'')/\sqrt{\hbar}}\ e^{-\sum_{j>0} \frac{\zeta^{-j}}{j} \sqrt{\hbar}(\partial_{x_j'} - \partial_{x_j''})}\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}')\Phi(\mathbf{x}'') = 0. \tag{6}$$

The equation is interpreted in the following way. The change

$$x_j = (x'_j + x''_j)/2,\ y_j = (x'_j - x''_j)/2,\ \ \partial_{x_j} = \partial_{x'_j} + \partial_{x''_j},\ \partial_{y_j} = \partial_{x'_j} - \partial_{x''_j}$$

transforms the equation (6) into

$$\text{Res}_{\zeta=\infty}\, d\zeta\ e^{2\sum_{j>0}\zeta^j y_j/\sqrt{\hbar}}\ e^{-\sum_{j>0}\frac{\zeta^{-j}}{j}\sqrt{\hbar}\partial_{y_j}}\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\Phi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Expanding in $\mathbf{y}$ yields an infinite system of equations on partial derivatives of $\Phi(\mathbf{x})$ which is an abstract form of the KP hierarchy.

Note that prior to extracting the residue, the expansion of (7) in $\mathbf{y}$ is an infinite series with the property that the coefficient at each monomial $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is a Laurent series in $\zeta^{-1}$, i.e. the powers of $\zeta$ are bounded from above by a constant depending on $m$. We should therefore think of the expressions in (6),(7) as expansions near $\zeta = \infty$. Below we call such an expression *regular in* $\zeta$ if it contains no negative powers of $\zeta$, i.e. the coefficient at each monomial $\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is a polynomial.

By definition, solutions of the *nKdV hierarchy* (also called *Gelfand – Dickey* or $W_n$*-hierarchy*) are those solutions of the KP hierarchy which do not depend on $x_j$ with $j \equiv 0 \mod n$. For $n = 2$ we obtain the KdV hierarchy whose solutions depend therefore only on $\mathbf{x}_{\text{odd}}$ and do not depend on $\mathbf{x}_{\text{even}}$. Note that the derivations $\partial_{y_{2k}}$ in (7) can be omitted while the multiplications by $y_{2k}$ cannot. Thinking of $\exp 2\sum_{k>0}\zeta^{2k}y_{2k}$ as an arbitrary function of $\zeta^2$ and symmetrizing (7) over the Galois group $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of the covering $\zeta \mapsto \zeta^2$, we arrive at the following description of the KdV hierarchy:

*a function* $\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{odd})$ *satisfies the KdV hierarchy if and only if the following differential* 1*-form is regular in* $\zeta^2$*:*

$$\sum_{\pm} \pm d\zeta\ e^{\pm\sum_{j\ \text{odd}}\zeta^j(x'_j - x''_j)/\sqrt{\hbar}}\ e^{\mp\sum_{j\ \text{odd}}\frac{\zeta^{-j}}{j}\sqrt{\hbar}(\partial_{x'_j} - \partial_{x''_j})}\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}')\Phi(\mathbf{x}''). \tag{8}$$

The *Witten-Kontsevich tau-function* is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{t}) = \exp\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}}\mathbf{t}(\psi_1)\wedge ... \wedge \mathbf{t}(\psi_m), \tag{9}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$ are the Deligne – Mumford moduli spaces of stable genus $g$ compact complex curves with $m$ marked points, $\psi_i$ are the 1-st Chern classes of the *universal cotangent line* bundles (formed by the cotangent lines to the curves at the $i$-th marked points) over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$, and $\mathbf{t}$ is a polynomial $\mathbf{t}(z) = t_0 + t_1 z + t_2 z^2 + ....$ It is known (see for instance [21]) that $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the *string* and *dilaton* equations

$$\partial_{t_0}\mathcal{T} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t_{k+1}\partial_{t_k}\mathcal{T} = \frac{t_0^2}{2\hbar}\mathcal{T},\ \ 3\partial_{t_1}\mathcal{T} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2k+1)t_k\partial_{t_k}\mathcal{T} = -\frac{1}{8}\mathcal{T}.$$

At $t_0 = 0$ the genus-$g$ part of $\ln \mathcal{T}$ depends only on $t_1, ..., t_{3g-2}$ (for dimensional reasons). This implies that $\mathcal{T}$ is well-defined at least as a formal function of, say, $\hbar, t_0/\hbar, t_1, t_2, ....$ Note however that the vector fields on the LHS of the string and dilaton equations become linear homogeneous after the change of variables $q_k = t_k - \delta_{k,1}$ called the *dilaton shift*. We define an element in the Fock space by

$$D_{A_1}(\mathbf{q}) := \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{t}),\ \text{where } \mathbf{q}(z) := \mathbf{t}(z) - z. \tag{10}$$

Thus $\mathcal{D}_{A_1}$ is well-defined as a formal function near the shifted origin $q(z) = -z$.

According to Witten's conjecture [21] proved by Kontsevich [13] the function $\mathcal{D}_{A_1}$ satisfies the KdV hierarchy (8) after the substitution $q_k = (2k+1)!!x_{2k+1},\ k = 0,1,2,....$ We also have $\partial_{x_{2k+1}} = (2k+1)!!\partial_{q_k}$. We are going to rewrite (8) in terms of Section 2. The exponents in (8) are elements of the Heisenberg Lie algebra and are quantizations of linear hamiltonians in the symplectic space $\mathcal{H}$. We will encode the hamiltonians by the corresponding (constant) hamiltonian vector fields. The standard relationship $\dot{q} = h_p,\ \dot{p} = -h_q$ between hamiltonians $h$ and their vector fields dictates the following correspondence between the Darboux coordinates (3) as linear functions on $\mathcal{H}$ and vectors in $\mathcal{H}$: $p_k \mapsto z^k,\ \ q_k \mapsto -(-z)^{-1-k},\ \ k = 0,1,2,....$ Using the notation $\lambda = \zeta^2/2$, we can rewrite the KdV hierarchy (8) for $\mathcal{D}_{A_1}$ in the form

$$\sum_{\sqrt{2\lambda}=\pm\zeta} (\Gamma^-(\lambda)\mathcal{D}_{A_1})(\mathbf{q}')\ \ (\Gamma^+(\lambda)\mathcal{D}_{A_1})(\mathbf{q}'')\ \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\ \text{ is regular in } \lambda, \tag{11}$$

where the sum is taken over the two values of $\sqrt{2\lambda}$, and

$$\Gamma^{\pm}(\lambda) := e^{\pm\sum_{k<0}(\frac{d}{d\lambda})^k(2\lambda)^{-1/2}\ (-z)^k}\ e^{\pm\sum_{k\geq 0}(\frac{d}{d\lambda})^k(2\lambda)^{-1/2}\ (-z)^k}. \tag{12}$$

We will informally refer to (11,12) as the KdV hierarchy for the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{A_1}$.

## 4. The vertex operators for $n$KdV.

Returning to the setting of Section 1, we introduce vertex operators associated with cycles vanishing at isolated critical points in a fashion generalizing the role of

$$(2\lambda)^{-1/2} = \int_{[x]:x^2/2=\lambda} dx/d(x^2/2)$$

in (12). More precisely, the operators will have the form

$$\Gamma^{\beta} = e^{\sum_{k<0} I_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda)(-z)^k}\ e^{\sum_{k\geq 0} I_{\beta}^{(k)}(\lambda)(-z)^k}, \tag{13}$$

where $I_{\beta}^{(k)}$ are vector functions with values in $H$ which are consecutive derivatives of one another, $dI_{\beta}^{(k)}/d\lambda = I_{\beta}^{(k+1)}$, and are defined as follows.

Let $f$ be a weighted-homogeneous singularity with the local algebra $H$ and with the residue pairing $(\cdot,\cdot)_0$ defined by the volume form $\omega_0 = dx_1 \wedge ... \wedge dx_m$. We will always assume that the number of variables $m = 2l+1$ is odd, that the monomials $\phi_1, ..., \phi_N = 1 \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ represent a basis in $H$, and that the spectrum $\deg(\phi_1\omega), ..., \deg(\phi_N\omega)$ contains no integers. For $[\phi] \in H$ represented by a linear combination $\phi$ of the monomials $\phi_i$, we put

$$(\ I_{\beta}^{(0)}(\lambda),\ [\phi]\ )_0 := (\frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{d}{d\lambda})^l \int_{\beta\subset f^{-1}(\lambda)} \phi(x)\frac{dx_1\wedge ... \wedge dx_m}{df(x)},$$

where $\beta$ is a middle-dimensional cycle in the Milnor fiber $f^{-1}(\lambda)$. [2] This defines $I_{\beta}^{(0)}(\lambda)$ as a vector-function with homogeneous components of non-integer degrees, and we extend the definition to $I_{\beta}^{(k)}$ by the obvious derivations and anti-derivations in $\lambda$. This determines the vertex operator unambiguously up to the classical monodromy of the cycle.

---

[2] When $\beta$ is the vanishing cycle $f^{-1}(\lambda)\cap\mathbb{R}^m$ of the $A_1$ singularity $f = (x_1^2 + ... + x_m^2)/2$ in $m = 2l+1$ variables, we have $\int_{\beta} dx/df = \sigma_{2l}\lambda^{l-1/2}$ where $\sigma_{2l} = 2(2\pi)^l/(2l-1)!!$ is the volume of the unit $2l$-dimensional sphere. The factor $1/(2\pi)^l$ in the definition of $I^{(0)}$ makes therefore $I_{\beta}^{(0)} = 2\lambda^{-1/2}$ independent on $l$.

In the special case of $A_{n-1}$ singularities, $\Gamma^\beta$ are closely related to the vertex operators of the $n$KdV hierarchy. Put $l=0$, $f=x^n/n$, $\phi_i = x^{n-1-i}$, $i=1,...,n-1$. We take the cycle $\beta$ to be *one point* $x=(n\lambda)^{1/n}$ at the level $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ and denote this cycle $\alpha$. Then

$$(I_\alpha^{(0)}, [\phi_i])_0 = \int_\alpha x^{n-1-i} \frac{dx}{dx^n/n} = (n\lambda)^{-i/n}.$$

Equivalently, $I_\alpha^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [x^{i-1}](n\lambda)^{-i/n}$. This implies

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} I_\alpha^{(k)}(-z)^k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} [x^{i-1}]z^k \ (n\lambda)^{-(i+kn)/n} \prod_{r=0}^{\infty}(i+rn)/\prod_{r=k}^{\infty}(i+rn).$$

The double sum contains exactly one summand with each power $i+kn$ of $\zeta = (n\lambda)^{1/n}$ not divisible by $n$.

Let us compare the coefficients at $\zeta^{-j}$ and $\zeta^j$. For $j=i+kn$ we have $-j = n-i+(-1-k)n$. The corresponding vectors $[x^{i-1}]z^k$ and $[x^{n-i-1}]z^{-1-k}$ in $\mathcal{H} = H((z^{-1}))$ have the symplectic inner product $(-1)^k$ (while any other pairs are $\Omega$-orthogonal). The corresponding factorial products multiply to $(-1)^{k+1}/(i+kn)$.

Let $\partial/\partial q_{i,k}$ denote the elements in the Heisenberg algebra (acting on the Fock space of functions on $\mathcal{H}_+$) which correspond to the vectors $[x^{i-1}]z^k$ in $\mathcal{H}$. The above computation means that the change

$$q_{i,k} = i(i+n)(i+2n)...(i+kn)x_{i+kn} \tag{14}$$

transforms $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} I_\alpha^{(k)}(-z)^k$ into $-\sum_{j<0} x_j\ \zeta^j + \sum_{j>0}\partial_{x_j}\ \zeta^{-j}/j$ where $j\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash n\mathbb{Z}$.

Comparing with (6) we see that the change (14) transforms solutions of the $n$KdV hierarchy into functions $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying the condition

$$\sum_\alpha \ (\Gamma^{-\alpha}\mathcal{D})(\mathbf{q}')\ (\Gamma^{\alpha}\mathcal{D})(\mathbf{q}'')\ \lambda^{(1-n)/n}d\lambda \ \text{ is regular in } \lambda. \tag{15}$$

The sum here is taken over all the $n$ values of $\lambda^{1/n}$ which correspond to the one-point cycles $\alpha$. In particular, the coefficients $\lambda^{(1-n)/n}$ in different summands differ by appropriate $n$-th roots of unity (rather than coincide).

Our goal in this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity defined by the formula (1) (as explained in* [5]*) satisfies (15) and therefore is transformed by the change (14) into a tau-function of the $n$KdV hierarchy.*

## 5. From descendents to ancestors.

According to the definition (1) the function $\mathcal{D}$ in Theorem 1 has the form $\mathcal{D} = e^{\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(\tau)}\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\tau$ where $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ is some other element of the Fock space depending on $\tau\in\mathcal{T}$ and called in [5] the *total ancestor potential* (and $\mathbf{F}^{(1)}$ is a function of $\tau$ called *the genus-*1 *Gromov-Witten potential* which will be described in the next section and which actually vanishes in the case of simple singularities). Replacing in (15) the function $\mathcal{D}$ with $\mathcal{A} = \hat{S}\mathcal{D}$ and $\Gamma^{\pm\alpha}$ — with its conjugation $\hat{S}\Gamma^{\pm\alpha}\hat{S}^{-1}$ we obtain a reformulation of Theorem 1 in terms of the ancestor potential. Let us compute $\hat{S}\Gamma^{\beta}\hat{S}^{-1}$, first formally, and then in the actual setting of singularity theory.

A quantized "lower-triangular" symplectic operator $S(z) = \mathbf{1}+S_1 z^{-1}+S_2 z^{-2}+...$ acts on elements of the Fock space by the formula (Proposition 5.3 in [5])

$$(\hat{S}^{-1}\mathcal{G})(\mathbf{q}) = e^{W(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q})/2\hbar}\mathcal{G}([S\mathbf{q}]_+),$$

where $[S\mathbf{q}]_+$ is the truncation of negative powers of $z$ in $S(z)\mathbf{q}(z)$, and the quadratic form $W(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}) = \sum (W_{kl}q_k, q_l)$ is defined by

$$\sum_{k,l\geq 0} \frac{W_{kl}}{w^k z^l} := \frac{S^t(w)S(z) - \mathbf{1}}{w^{-1}+z^{-1}}. \tag{16}$$

Respectively,

$$(\hat{S}\mathcal{G})(\mathbf{q}) = e^{-W([S^{-1}\mathbf{q}]_+,[S^{-1}\mathbf{q}]_+)/2\hbar}\mathcal{G}([S^{-1}\mathbf{q}]_+).$$

For $\mathbf{f} \in H[[z,z^{-1}]]$, let $(e^{\mathbf{f}})\hat{}\ := e^{\hat{\mathbf{f}}_-}e^{\hat{\mathbf{f}}_+}$ be the corresponding element in the Heisenberg group. The previous formulas show that

$$\hat{S}\ (e^{\mathbf{f}})\hat{}\ \hat{S}^{-1}\mathcal{G} = e^{W(\mathbf{f}_+,\mathbf{f}_+)/2}(e^{S\mathbf{f}})\hat{}\ \mathcal{G}. \tag{17}$$

We are returning to the Frobenius structure on the parameter space $\mathcal{T}$ of a miniversal deformation of a (weighted - homogeneous) singularity. Consider the complex oscillating integral

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\tau) = (-2\pi z)^{-m/2}\int_{\mathfrak{B}} e^{F(x,\tau)/z}\omega.$$

Here $\mathfrak{B}$ is a non-compact cycle from the relative homology group [3]

$$\lim_{M\to\infty} H_m(\mathbb{C}^m, \{x : \mathrm{Re}(F(x,\tau)/z) \leq -M\}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^N.$$

We will assume that $\omega$ is primitive and use the notation $\partial_1, ..., \partial_N$ for partial derivative with respect to a flat (and weighted - homogeneous) coordinate system $(t_1, ..., t_N)$ of the residue metric. Saito's theory of primitive forms guarantees that the differential equations for $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ in flat coordinates assume the following form:

$$z\partial_i\partial_j\mathcal{J} = \sum_k a_{ij}^k\partial_k\mathcal{J}, \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_i \bullet \partial_j = \sum_k a_{ij}^k(\tau)\partial_k$$

is the multiplication on the tangent spaces $T_\tau\mathcal{T}$. In particular, the linear pencil of connections on the *cotangent* bundle

$$\nabla := d - z^{-1}\sum(\partial_i\bullet)^t dt_i$$

is flat for any $z \neq 0$ (since $\sum(\partial_j\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}})dt_j$ provide a basis of $\nabla$-flat sections). The integrability of $\nabla$ is a key axiom in the definition of Frobenius structures [3]. [4]

The oscillating integral also satisfies the following homogeneity condition:

$$(z\partial_z + \sum(\deg t_i)t_i\partial_i)\ z\partial_j\mathcal{J} = -\mu_j\ z\partial_j\mathcal{J},$$

---

[3] The present description of the oscillating integral is accurate only for subdeformation $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^{lower}$ of $f$ by terms of degrees lower than $\deg f = 1$. Our excuses are that (i) such $\tau$ will suffice for all our goals and (ii) $\mathcal{T}^{lower} = \mathcal{T}$ for $A_{n-1}$ and other simple singularities.

[4] Note that the operators $\partial_i\bullet$ are self-adjoint with respect to the metric. Identifying the tangent and cotangent spaces via the metric, we get $\nabla = d - z^{-1}\sum(\partial_i\bullet)dt_i$, while the natural adjoint connection on the tangent bundle reads $d + z^{-1}\sum(\partial_i\bullet)\ dt_i$.

where $-\mu_j = \deg(\partial_j F) + \deg(\omega) - m/2,\ j = 1, ..., N$, is the *spectrum* of the singularity symmetric about 0. One can extend therefore the connection $\nabla$ to the $z$-direction by

$$\nabla_{\partial_z} := \partial_z + \mu/z + (E\bullet)^t/z^2,$$

where $E = \sum(\deg t_i)t_i\partial_i$ is the *Euler field* and $\mu = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, ..., \mu_N)$ is the *Hodge grading operator* (anti-symmetric with respect to the metric and diagonal in a graded basis). The extended connection is flat (since $\sum z\partial_i\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}}\ dt_i$ provide a basis of flat sections) and can be considered as an *isomonodromic* family of connections in $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash 0$ depending on the parameter $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$. Identifying the $T^*\mathcal{T}$ with $T\mathcal{T}$ via the metric, we obtain the connection operator $\partial_z - \mu/z + (E\bullet)/z^2$. The connection is regular at $z = \infty$. At $\tau = 0$ it turns into $\partial_z - \mu/z$.

*Definition.* The operator $S_\tau(z) = \mathbf{1} + S_1 z^{-1} + S_2 z^{-2} + ...$ is defined as a gauge transformation in the twisted loop group (i.e. $S^t(-z)S(z) = \mathbf{1}$) which transforms near $z = \infty$ the connection operator $\partial_z - \mu/z + (E\bullet)/z^2$ at the parameter value $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ into the connection operator $\partial_z - \mu/z$.

In particular, the basis of flat sections for the extended connection defined by the complex oscillating integrals $z\partial_i\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}}$ near $z = \infty$ has the form $S_\tau(z)z^\mu C$ where $C$ is a constant invertible matrix depending on the basis of cycles $\mathfrak{B}$. This implies that $S$ is a fundamental solution to $z\partial_i S = \partial_i \bullet S,\ i = 1, ..., N$, and satisfies the homogeneity condition $(z\partial_z + E)S = \mu S - S\mu$. A choice of the series solution $S$ with these properties and satisfying the asymptotical condition $S(\infty) = \mathbf{1}$ and the symplectic condition $S^t(-z)S(z) = \mathbf{1}$ is called in [5] *calibration* of the corresponding Frobenius structure. In general calibration is not unique (and may depend on finitely many constants) unless there is no integers among the spectral differences $\mu_i - \mu_j$. It is therefore unique in the case of simple singularities. For more general weighted - homogeneous singularities a canonical choice is specified by the condition that $S_{\tau=0} = \mathbf{1}$. [5]

Let us consider now *period vectors* $I^{(k)}_\beta$ of $\lambda$ and $\tau$ with values in $H$ defined by the integrals over vanishing cycles $\beta \in H_{m-1}(V_\tau(\lambda))$ in the Milnor fibers $V_{\lambda,\tau} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : F(x,\tau) = \lambda\}$. We keep the notation $m = 2l + 1$ and other hypotheses of Section 4 and define the period vectors $I^{(k)}_\beta$ by [6]

$$(I^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda,\tau), \partial_i) := -(2\pi)^{-l}\partial_\lambda^{l+k}\partial_i \int_{\beta\subset V_{\lambda,\tau}} d^{-1}\omega = (2\pi)^{-l}\partial_\lambda^{l+k}\int_\beta (\partial_i F)\frac{\omega}{dF}.$$

The vector-valued functions $I^{(k)}_\beta$ are multiple-valued and ramified along the discriminant where $V_{\lambda,\tau}$ becomes singular. We refer to [1] for a standard description of the reflection monodromy group for the cycle $\beta$ and the integrals. When $\tau = 0$, the vector-functions $I^{(k)}_\beta$ specialize to those of the previous section.

**Theorem 2.** *Let* $\mathbf{f}(\lambda,\tau) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} I^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda,\tau)(-z)^k$. *Then* $\mathbf{f}(\lambda,\tau) = S_\tau(z)\mathbf{f}(\lambda,0)$.

*Remark.* The integrals $I^{(k)}(\lambda)$ expand near $\lambda = \infty$ into Laurent series (with fractional exponents), and the maximal exponent in $I^{(k)}$ tends to $-\infty$ as $k \to \infty$.

---

[5] This makes the descendent potential a special case of the ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}_\tau = \hat{S}_\tau\mathcal{D}$ with $\tau = 0$ provided that $\hat{S}_0\mathcal{D}$ is well-defined (see Section 9).

[6] Here $d^{-1}\omega$ is any $m-1$-form whose differential in $x$ equals $\omega$. In the second equality we assume for simplicity that $\omega$ is independent of $\tau$ as is the case for simple singularities.

Respectively, coefficients in a $z$-series of the form $S\mathbf{f}$ with $S = \sum_{l\geq 0} S_l z^{-l}$ and $\mathbf{f} = \sum I^{(k)}(-z)^k$, which are infinite sums $\sum_{l\geq 0} \pm S_l I^{(k+l)}$, converge in the $1/\lambda$-adic sense.

*Proof.* The period vectors $I^{(k)}_{\beta}$ are related to the oscillating integrals $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ by (a version of) the Laplace transform and satisfy the differential equations (we remind that $\omega$ is primitive, $\partial_i$ are flat and $\partial_N$ is the unit element in the Frobenius algebra $(T_\tau \mathcal{T}, \bullet)$ so that $\partial_N F = 1$):

$$\partial_i I = (\partial_i \bullet)\partial_N I,\ \ \partial_N I = -\partial_\lambda I,\ \ (\lambda\partial_\lambda + E)I = (\mu - k - 1/2)I.$$

The equations determine the solution unambiguously from an initial condition (the specialization to $\tau = 0$ will suffice). Also by definition $\partial_\lambda I^{(k)} = I^{(k+1)}$. In terms of the generating function $\mathbf{f} = \sum I^{(k)}(-z)^k$ the equations read:

$$\partial_i \mathbf{f} = z^{-1}(\partial_i \bullet)\mathbf{f},\ \ \partial_N \mathbf{f} + \partial_\lambda \mathbf{f} = 0,\ \ (z\partial_z + \lambda\partial_\lambda + E)\mathbf{f} = (\mu - 1/2)\mathbf{f}. \tag{18}$$

The specialization $\mathbf{f}_0 = \mathbf{f}(\lambda, 0)$ satisfies respectively

$$\partial_i \mathbf{f}_0 = 0,\ \partial_\lambda \mathbf{f}_0 = -z^{-1}\mathbf{f}_0,\ \ (z\partial_z + \lambda\partial_\lambda)\mathbf{f}_0 = (\mu - 1/2)\mathbf{f}_0.$$

Combining this with the equations for $S_\tau$ (i.e. $\partial_i S = z^{-1}\partial_i \bullet S$ and $(z\partial_z + E)S = \mu S - S\mu$) we find that $\mathbf{f} = S_\tau \mathbf{f}_0$ satisfies (18). Since $\mathbf{f}(\lambda, \tau)$ and $S_\tau \mathbf{f}_0$ coincide at $\tau = 0$ by definition, the result follows. □

## 6. Stationary phase asymptotics.

Consider the vectors fields $J_{\mathfrak{B}}$ on $\mathcal{T}$ defined by the oscillating integrals $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\tau)$ via the formula $(J, \partial_j) = \ z\partial_j \mathcal{J}$. As we discussed in Section 5, when $\mathfrak{B}$ runs a basis in the appropriate homology group $\mathbb{Z}^N$, the vector fields form a fundamental solution to the system

$$\partial_i J = z^{-1}(\partial_i \bullet)J,\ \ (\partial_z + (E\bullet)/z^2)J = \mu J. \tag{19}$$

Now we choose $\tau$ semisimple, i.e. require the function $F(\cdot, \tau)$ to have $N$ non-degenerate critical points $x_{(i)}$. We denote $u_i$ the corresponding critical values (they form a local coordinate system on $\mathcal{T}$ called *canonical*) and denote $\Delta_i$ the Hessians of $F(\cdot, \tau)$ at the critical points with respect to the primitive volume form $\omega$. Next, we construct a basis of cycles $\mathfrak{B}_1, ..., \mathfrak{B}_N$ as follows: in the levels $V_{\lambda,\tau}$ varying over an infinite path from $\lambda = u_i$ toward $\lambda/z \to -\infty$ avoiding other critical values, take a parallel family of cycles *vanishing as* $\lambda$ *approaches* $u_i$ and declare their union in $\mathbb{C}^m$ to be $\mathfrak{B}_i$. In fact many details do not matter here since we are going to replace the oscillating integrals $\mathcal{J}_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$ by their stationary phase asymptotics near $u_i$. In this way we get an asymptotical fundamental solution to the same system (19). The asymptotical solution has the form $J \sim \Psi\ R_\tau(z)\ \exp(U/z)$ where:

- $U = \mathrm{diag}(u_1, ..., u_N)$,
- $\Psi(\tau)$ is the transition matrix from the basis $\{\partial_j\}$ in $T_\tau T$ to the basis $\sqrt{\Delta_i}\partial/\partial u_i$ orthonormal with respect to the residue metric, [7] and
- $R_\tau(z) = \mathbf{1} + R_1 z + R_2 z^2 + ...$ is a formal power series with matrix coefficients depending on $\tau$.

---

[7] Note that the residue metric in the canonical coordinates assumes the form $\sum \Delta_j^{-1}(du_j)^2$. Respectively the matrix $\Psi$ satisfies the orthogonality condition $\sum_{a,b} \Psi_i^a (\partial_a, \partial_b)\Psi_j^b = \delta_{i,j}$, and therefore $[\Psi^{-1}]_i^j = \sum_a (\partial_a, \partial_i)\Psi_j^a = \Delta_j^{-1/2}\partial_i u_j$.

According to [6] (Proposition, part (d)) an asymptotical solution of this form to the system (19) is unique and automatically satisfies the symplectic condition $R^t(-z)R(z) = \mathbf{1}$. According to the definition of the total descendent potential (1) given in [5] the data $\Psi, R, U$ in (1) come from this unique asymptotical solution and thus coincide with the corresponding ingredients of the stationary phase asymptotics $J \sim \Psi R(z) \exp(U/z)$ described above.

The coefficient $C$ in the formula (1) is defined (uniquely up to a non-zero constant factor) in terms of the diagonal entries of the matrix $R_1$ (see [5]):

$$C(\tau) := \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\int^{\tau} \sum R_1^{ii}(u)du_i\right).$$

The genus-1 Gromov-Witten potential $\mathbf{F}^{(1)}$ of a semisimple Frobenius structure mentioned in the previous section is defined (up to an additive constant) by

$$\mathbf{F}^{(1)}(\tau) := \frac{1}{48}\sum_i \ln\Delta_i(\tau) + \ln C(\tau).$$

As it is shown, for instance, in [7], the function $\mathbf{F}^{(1)}$ is constant in the case of $A_2$-singularity. Using Hartogs' principle one can derive from this (see, for example, [10]) that for arbitrary singularity it extends analytically from semisimple points $\tau$ through the caustic. In particular, $\mathbf{F}^{(1)}$ is constant (as a regular function on $\mathcal{T}$ of zero homogeneity degree) in the case of all simple singularities.

To complete the description of the formula (1), we note that $(\mathbf{q}_1, ..., \mathbf{q}_N) = \Psi^{-1}\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{C}^N[z]$ is the coordinate expression for $\mathbf{q} \in H[z]$ in terms of our orthonormal basis in $T_\tau\mathcal{T}$ identified with $H = T_0\mathcal{T}$ via the flat metric $(\cdot,\cdot)$.

We have therefore the ancestor potential defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau(\mathbf{q}) = \Psi(\tau)\ \hat{R}_\tau e^{(U/z)^\wedge}\ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{D}_{A_1}(\mathbf{q}_i)\Delta_i^{-1/48}(\tau), \tag{20}$$

and our next goal is to learn how to commute the vertex operators $\Gamma_\tau^\beta$ past $\Psi R e^{U/z}$.

In fact, the conjugation $\hat{J}(e^{\mathbf{f}})^\wedge \hat{J}^{-1}$ of an element of the Heisenberg group by a quantized symplectic transformation is proportional to $(e^{J^{-1}\mathbf{f}})^\wedge$. We postpone the discussion of the proportionality coefficient and compute $J^{-1}\mathbf{f}$.

Let $\beta_i$ be the cycle in $H^{2l}(V_{\lambda,\tau})$ vanishing as $\lambda \to u_i$ along the same path as the one participating in the definition of the non-compact cycle $\mathfrak{B}_i$. The vector $J_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$ of oscillating integrals is expressed via $I_{\beta_i}^{(l)}$ by the "Laplace transform" along the path:

$$J_{\mathfrak{B}_i}(\tau) = \frac{(-z)^{-l}}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}}\int_{u_i}^{-\infty} e^{\lambda/z} I_{\beta_i}^{(-l)}(\lambda,\tau)d\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}}\int_{u_i}^{\infty} e^{\lambda/z} I_{\beta_i}^{(0)}(\lambda,\tau)$$

(note that $I^{(k)}(u_i,\tau) = 0$ for $k < 0$). Near the critical value $\lambda = u_i$ we have the expansion

$$(I_{\beta_i}^{(0)}, \partial_j) = \frac{\partial_j u_i}{\sqrt{\Delta_i}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{2(\lambda - u_i)}}(1 + ...)$$

where the dots mean power series in $2(\lambda - u_i)$. In components, we find

$$[I_{\beta_i}^{(0)}]^j = \sum_a \Psi_a^j \left(\delta^{ai} + \sum_{k>0} A_k^{ai}[2(\lambda - u_i)]^k\right)\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{2(\lambda - u_i)}}. \tag{21}$$

Using the change of variables $\lambda - u_i = -zx^2/2$ we compute

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}}\int_0^{-\infty} e^{\lambda/z}[2(\lambda-u_i)]^{k-1/2}d\lambda = \frac{(-z)^{k+1/2}}{\sqrt{-2\pi z}}\ e^{u_i/z}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2}x^{2k}dx \tag{22}$$

$$= (-z)^k\ (2k-1)!!\ e^{u_i/z}. \tag{23}$$

Thus the asymptotics of $J_{\mathfrak{B}_i}$ assumes the form

$$[J_{\mathfrak{B}_i}]^j \sim \sum_a \Psi_a^j \left(\delta^{ai} + \sum_{k>0}(2k-1)!!\ A_k^{ai}(-z)^k\right)\ e^{u_i/z},$$

and therefore $R_k^{\alpha i} = (-1)^k(2k-1)!!\ A_k^{\alpha i}$. Substituting this into (21) and combining with the Taylor formula $e^{u/z}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} z^k I^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} z^k I^{(k)}(\lambda+u)$, we arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 3.** *Near* $\lambda = u_i$ *we have* $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(-z)^k I_{\beta_i}^{(k)} = \Psi\ R(z)\ e^{U/z}\ \mathbf{1}_i\ \mathbf{I}$ *where* $\mathbf{1}_i = \sqrt{\Delta_i}\partial/\partial u_i$ *is the i-th unit coordinate vector in* $\mathbb{C}^N$ *and* $\mathbf{I}(z,\lambda) := 2\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(-z)^k(\frac{d}{d\lambda})^k\ (2\lambda)^{-1/2}$.

*Remark.* Note that coefficients of a $z$-series of the form $R\mathbf{f}$, where $R = \sum_{l\geq 0} R_l z^l$ and $\mathbf{f} = \sum I^{(k)}(-z)^k$, are infinite sums $\sum_{l\geq 0} \pm R_l I^{(k-l)}$. They converge in the $\sqrt{\lambda - u_i}$-adic sense as long as $I^{(k)}$ expands near $\lambda = u_i$ into a Laurent series in $\sqrt{\lambda - u_i}$ such that the lowest exponent tends to $\infty$ as $k \to -\infty$.

## 7. The phase factors

Let us introduce *the phase* 1*-form*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_\beta(\lambda,\tau) := -(I_\beta^{(0)}(\lambda,\tau), dI_\beta^{(-1)}(\lambda,\tau)) = \sum_{i=1}^N (I_\beta^{(0)}, \partial_i \bullet I_\beta^{(0)})\ dt_i. \tag{24}$$

It depends quadraticly on the cycle $\beta$, and we will occasionally denote $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_{\alpha,\beta} = -(I_\alpha^{(0)}, dI_\beta^{(-1)})$ its polarization which is symmetric and bilinear in $\alpha, \beta$. The phase form is, generally speaking, multiple-valued and is ramified along the discriminant where $\lambda$ is a critical value of $F(\cdot,\tau)$.

We discuss below some basic properties of the phase form. [8]

**1.** Both $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_\beta$ and the polarizations are closed since $\partial_i(\partial_j\bullet) = \partial_j(\partial_i\bullet)$.

**2.** The phase form is invariant under $\partial_\lambda + \partial_N$, i. e. $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ is determined by the restriction $\mathcal{W}(\tau) := \tilde{\mathcal{W}}(0,\tau)$ via $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}(\lambda,\tau) = \mathcal{W}(\tau - \lambda\mathbf{1})$.

**3.** Let $E = \sum \deg(t_i)t_i\partial_i$ be the Euler vector field. Then $i_E\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\beta} = -\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$. Here $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$ is the intersection index normalized in such a way that the self - intersection of a vanishing cycle equals $+2$. Indeed, $(a, E\bullet b)$ is known to be proportional to the intersection form carried over to the cotangent spaces $T_\tau^*\mathcal{T}$ by the differential of the period map $\tau \mapsto [d^{-1}\omega]$ defined by the primitive form $\omega$ (see, for instance, [10]). According to [20], the proportionality coefficient is independent of the singularity and can be computed in an example.

---

[8] T. Milanov has found an elegant description of the phase form in terms of the Frobenius multiplication on the *co*tangent bundle. WE refer to [9] for details and for explicit formulas in terms of the root systems in the case of ADE-singularities.

The property of $\mathcal{W}$ means that $\exp \int \mathcal{W}_\beta$ is homogeneous of degree $-\langle \beta, \beta \rangle$. For example, when $\alpha$ is a 1-point cycle in the level $x^n/n = -\tau_{n-1}$ of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity, we have

$$\int_{\tau_{n-1}=-\mathbf{1}}^{-\lambda \mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\alpha = \int_{x^n=n}^{n\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{x^{i-1}}{x^{n-1}} \frac{x^{n-1-i}}{x^{n-1}} d(-\frac{x^n}{n}) = \frac{1-n}{n} \ln \lambda. \tag{25}$$

Note that $(n-1)/n$ is the self-intersection index of $\alpha$ projected to the *reduced* homology group.

**4.** Suppose that a cycle $\alpha$ is invariant under the monodromy along a loop in the complement to the discriminant. Then the phase form $\mathcal{W}_\alpha$ is single-valued along the loop, and we can talk about the *period* $\oint \mathcal{W}_\alpha$. When a small loop $\gamma = \beta^2$ goes twice around the discriminant near a non-singular point, then the monodromy is trivial, and

$$\oint \mathcal{W}_\alpha = -2\pi i \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^2, \tag{26}$$

where $\beta$ is the cycle vanishing at the corresponding critical point.

Indeed, $\alpha = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \beta/2 + \alpha'$, where $\langle \alpha', \beta \rangle = 0$. Let $\lambda = u$ be the critical value. Then $I_{\alpha'}^{(0)}$ is analytic at $\lambda = u_i$, and $I_\beta^{(0)}$ expands in $\sqrt{\lambda - u}$ as in (21). This implies that $\oint \mathcal{W}_{\alpha', \beta}$ and $\oint \mathcal{W}_{\alpha', \alpha'}$ vanish, while $\oint \mathcal{W}_{\beta/2, \beta/2} = -2\pi i$ (as in (25) with $n = 2$).

Obviously, the same is true for any conjugation $\delta \beta^2 \delta^{-1}$ (which itself is the square of $\delta \beta \delta^{-1}$).

**Proposition 1.** *In the case of a simple singularity, suppose that a cycle $\alpha$ has integer intersection indices with vanishing cycles and is invariant under the monodromy along some loop $\gamma$. Then the corresponding period $\oint \mathcal{W}_\alpha$ is an integer multiple of $2\pi i$.*

*Proof.* If a transformation from a finite reflection group preserves some vector, then it can be written as a composition of reflections in hyperplanes containing the vector. On the other hand, the (monodromy) reflection group of a simple singularity is known to coincide with the quotient of corresponding Artin's braid group (i. e. the fundamental group of the complement to the discriminant) by the normal subgroup generated by the squares of standard generators. Thus the loop $\gamma$ can be written as the composition $\gamma = \beta_1^2 ... \beta_r^2 \beta_1' ... \beta_s'$, where $\beta_i, \beta_i'$ are "small" loops around non-singular points of the discriminant, and the monodromy along $b_i'$ preserves $\alpha$. The loops $\beta_i'$ have zero contributions to the period $\int_\gamma \mathcal{W}_\alpha$ (since $\alpha$ is orthogonal to the corresponding vanishing cycles), while the periods $\int_{\delta^{-1}\beta_i^2\delta} \mathcal{W}_\alpha = \int_{\beta_i^2} \mathcal{W}_\alpha = -2\pi i \langle \alpha, \beta_i \rangle^2$ are integer multiples of $2\pi i$. □

We will show now how central constants in various commutation relations between vertex operators and symplectic transformations are expressed in terms of the phase form.

In the situation of Theorem 1, let us compute the factor $e^{W(\mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_+)/2}$ defined by the formulas (16, 17). Differentiating (16) and using $\partial_i S(z) = z^{-1} S(z)$ and $(\partial_i \bullet)^t = \partial_i \bullet$ we find $\partial_i W(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}) = ([S\mathbf{q}]_0, \partial_i \bullet [S\mathbf{q}]_0)$ where $[S\mathbf{q}]_0$ denotes the zero mode in $S(z)\mathbf{q}(z)$. Since $S|_{\tau=0} = \mathbf{1}$, we see from (16) that $W|_{\tau=0} = 0$ and conclude

$$W(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}) = \int_0^\tau \sum ([S\mathbf{q}]_0, \partial_i \bullet [S\mathbf{q}]_0) dt_i.$$

The differential 1-form here is closed and the integral does not depend on the path connecting the origin $0 \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ (at least when $\mathbf{q} \in H[z]$).

We apply the formula to $\mathbf{q} = \sum_{k\geq 0}(-z)^k I^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda, 0)$. According to Theorem 2, $[S_\tau \mathbf{q}]_0 = I^{(0)}_\beta(\lambda, \tau)$ and therefore the exponent $W(\mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_+)$ in (17) can be written as

$$W(\mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_+) = \int_0^\tau \sum_i (I^{(0)}_\beta(\lambda, t), \partial_i \bullet I^{(0)}_\beta(\lambda, t)) dt_i = \int_0^\tau \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_\beta \tag{27}$$

This integral may depend on the path (in the complement of the discriminant) which determines the branch of the multiple-valued vector-function $I^{(0)}_\beta$. Slightly abusing notation, we indicate the end-points in such integrals but suppress the name of the path. However we always assume that in different integrals the path is the same whenever the end-points are the same. Also we choose $-\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{T}$, (defined by $F(x, -\mathbf{1}) = f(x) - 1$) for the base point.

Rewriting the integral via $\mathcal{W}$

$$W(\mathbf{f}_+, \mathbf{f}_+) = \int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau - \lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\beta - \int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\beta,$$

computing the second integral as

$$\int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\beta = -\int_1^\lambda (I^{(0)}_\beta(\xi, 0), I^{(0)}_\beta(\xi, 0)) d\xi = -\langle \beta, \beta \rangle \int_1^\lambda \frac{d\xi}{\xi},$$

and combining this with Theorem 2, we arrive at the following conclusions.

**Proposition 2.** *Introduce the vertex operator*

$$\Gamma^\beta_\tau(\lambda) = e^{\sum_{k<0} I^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda,\tau)(-z)^k} e^{\sum_{k\geq 0} I^{(k)}_\beta(\lambda,\tau)(-z)^k}. \tag{28}$$

*Then we have*

$$\hat{S}_\tau \ e^{-\langle\beta,\beta\rangle \int_1^\lambda d\xi/2\xi} \Gamma^\beta_0(\lambda) \ \hat{S}_\tau^{-1} = e^{\int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\beta/2} \ \Gamma^\beta_\tau(\lambda).$$

The weights $\lambda^{(1-n)/n}$ in the formulation of Theorem 1 coincide with $\lambda^{-\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}$ for the 1-point cycles $\alpha$ and differ from $\exp(-\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle \int_1^\lambda d\xi/\xi)$ by the corresponding $n$-th roots of unity (as explained before the formulation of Theorem 1).

**Corollary.** *In the case of $A_{n-1}$-singularities an element $\mathcal{D}$ of the Fock space satisfies the nKdV hierarchy (15) if and only if for some — and then for all — $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ the corresponding elements $\mathcal{A}_\tau = \hat{S}_\tau \mathcal{D}$ satisfy the condition*

$$\sum_\alpha (\Gamma^{-\alpha}_\tau \mathcal{A}_\tau)(\mathbf{q}') \ (\Gamma^\alpha_\tau \mathcal{A}_\tau)(\mathbf{q}'') \ e^{\int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\alpha - \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle \int_1^\lambda d\xi/\xi} \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}} \quad \textit{is regular in } \lambda. \tag{29}$$

*Remark.* As was explained in Section 3, the regularity condition refers to expansions into Laurent series in $\lambda^{-1}$, and in particular the multiple-valued functions $(I^{(k)}_\alpha, [\phi_i])$ and $\int \mathcal{W}_\alpha$ should be understood as series expansions $\lambda^{\mu_i - 1/2 - k}(a_0 + a_1\lambda^{-1} + ...)$ and respectively $-\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle \ln\lambda + b_1\lambda^{-1} + b_2\lambda^{-2} + ...$ near $\lambda = \infty$.

Let us return now to the situation of Theorem 3.

According to [5], Proposition 7.3, the action of the operator $\hat{R}^{-1}$ on elements of the Fock space is given by the formula

$$(\hat{R}^{-1}\mathcal{G})(\mathbf{q}) = (e^{\hbar V(\partial,\partial)/2}\mathcal{G})(R\mathbf{q})$$

where $(R\mathbf{q})(z) = R(z)\mathbf{q}(z)$, and the "Laplacian" $V(\partial,\partial) = \sum(\partial_{q_k}, V_{kl}\partial_{q_l})$ is defined by

$$\sum_{k,l\geq 0} V_{kl} w^k z^l = \frac{\mathbf{1} - R(w)R^t(z)}{w+z}.$$

This easily implies

$$\hat{R}^{-1}(e^{\mathbf{f}})\hat{}\,\hat{R} = e^{V\mathbf{f}_-^2/2}\ (e^{R^{-1}\mathbf{f}})\hat{},$$

where $\mathbf{f}_- = \sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^{-1-k}(f_{-1-k}, q_k)$ is interpreted as a linear function of $\mathbf{q}$. When $\mathbf{f} = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} I_\beta^{(k)}(-z)^k$, we have $\mathbf{f}_- = \sum(I_\beta^{(-1-k)}, q_k)$. Using $\partial_\lambda I_\beta^{(-1-k)} = I_\beta^{(-k)}$, we find [9]

$$\partial_\lambda V\mathbf{f}_-^2 = \sum_{k,l\geq 0}((I_\beta^{(-k)},\cdot),[V_{k-1,l}+V_{k,l-1}](I_\beta^{(-l)},\cdot)) \tag{30}$$

$$= (I_\beta^{(0)}, I_\beta^{(0)}) - (\sum R_k^t I_\beta^{(-k)}, \sum R_l^t I_\beta^{(-l)}). \tag{31}$$

Let us assume now that $\beta$ is a vanishing cycle $\beta_i$. By Theorem 3,

$$\sum R_k^t I_{\beta_i}^{(-k)} = \sum R_k^t \sum(-1)^l R_l\Big(\frac{d}{d\lambda}\Big)^{-l-k}\frac{2\ \mathbf{1}_i}{\sqrt{2(\lambda-u_i)}} = \frac{2\ \mathbf{1}_i}{\sqrt{2(\lambda-u_i)}}.$$

Also $V\mathbf{f}_-^2 = 0$ at $\lambda = u_i$ since $\mathbf{f}_{-k} \sim (\lambda-u_i)^{k+1/2}(\mathbf{1}_i + ...)$ vanish at $\lambda = u_i$. Thus

$$V\mathbf{f}_-^2 = \int_{u_i}^{\lambda}\left((I_{\beta_i}^{(0)}(\xi,\tau), I_{\beta_i}^{(0)}(\xi,\tau)) - \frac{2}{(\xi-u_i)}\right)\,d\xi.$$

Note that near $\xi = u_i$ both integrals diverge, but in the same way, so that the difference converges. The integral can be rewritten as

$$V\mathbf{f}_-^2 = \int_{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta_i} - \frac{2dt_N}{\tau_N-u_i-t_N}\right).$$

Finally, conjugation of vertex operators by $e^{(U/z)\hat{}}$ is a special case of Proposition 2 and has the following effect: $\mathbf{1}_i/\sqrt{2(\lambda-u_i)}$ is transformed to $\mathbf{1}_i/\sqrt{2\lambda}$, and the corresponding factor $e^{W(\mathbf{f}_+,\mathbf{f}_+)/2}$ is equal to $(\lambda-u_i)/\lambda$. Note that the correspondence between the branches of the $\sqrt{\cdot}$ depends on the choice of a path connecting $\lambda-u_i$ with $\lambda$.

We summarize.

**Proposition 3.** *Let $\beta_i$ be one of the vanishing cycles. Put*

$$W_i := \int_{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2} - \frac{dt_N}{2(\tau_N-u_i-t_N)}\right), \quad w_i = \int_{\lambda-u_i}^{\lambda}\frac{d\xi}{2\xi} \tag{32}$$

*Then*

$$\left(\Psi\hat{R}e^{(U/z)\hat{}}\right)^{-1}\ e^{-W_i/2}\ \Gamma_\tau^{\pm\beta_i/2}\ \left(\Psi\hat{R}e^{(U/z)\hat{}}\right) = e^{-w_i/2}\ \left(...\mathbf{1}\otimes(\Gamma^\pm)_{(i)}\otimes\mathbf{1}...\right),$$

*where $\Gamma^\pm$ are the vertex operators (12), and the subscript $(i)$ indicates the i-th position in the tensor product.*

*Remark.* The integration path in the definition of $w_i$ is the same as the one that determines the branch of $\sqrt{\cdot}$ under the translation $\sqrt{\lambda-u_i}\mapsto\sqrt{\lambda}$.

---

[9] We slightly abuse notation by identifying $T_\tau\mathcal{T}$ with $\mathbb{C}^N$ by $\Psi^{-1}$ and denoting in the same way $(\cdot,\cdot)$ the metric on $T_\tau\mathcal{T}$, and the standard inner products on $\mathbb{C}^N$ and $\mathbb{C}^{N*}$.

Now let us consider a cycle $\alpha$ represented as the sum $c\,\beta/2+\alpha'$ where $\beta$ is the cycle vanishing over the point $(\lambda,\tau)=(u,\tau)$ on the discriminant, and $\alpha'$ is a cycle invariant under the local monodromy near this point (so that $c=\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$).

**Proposition 4.** *For the vertex operators (28) we have*

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\tau}=e^{c\int_{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u\mathbf{1}}\mathcal{W}_{\beta/2,\alpha'}}\,\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\tau}\Gamma^{c\beta/2}_{\tau}.$$

*Proof.* It is clear that $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\tau}=e^{K}\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\tau}\Gamma^{c\beta/2}_{\tau}$. The proportionality coefficient $e^K$ arises from commuting $e^{\hat{\mathbf{f}}_-}$ across $e^{\hat{\mathbf{g}}_+}$, where $\mathbf{f}=c\,\sum(-z)^kI^{(k)}_{\beta/2}$ and $\mathbf{g}=\sum(-z)^lI^{(l)}_{\alpha'}$. The constant $K$ is equal therefore to the symplectic inner product $\Omega(\mathbf{f}_-,\mathbf{g}_+)$. One easily finds

$$K=c\,\sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^k(I^{(-1-k)}_{\beta/2},I^{(k)}_{\alpha'}).$$

On the other hand, consecutive integration by parts yields

$$\int_u^{\lambda}(I^{(0)}_{\beta/2},I^{(0)}_{\alpha'})\;d\xi=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}(-1)^k(I^{(-1-k)}_{\beta/2},I^{(k)}_{\alpha'})|_u^{\lambda}+(-1)^m\int_u^{\lambda}(I^{(-m)}_{\beta/2},I^{(m)}_{\alpha'})\;d\xi.$$

Note that $I^{(-1-k)}_{\beta/2}\sim(\lambda-u)^{k+1/2}(\mathbf{1}_i+...)$ and vanish at $\lambda=u$, while $I^{(k)}_{\alpha'}$ are holomorphic at $\lambda=u$. Thus the last integral is $o(\lambda-u)^{m-1/2}$ and hence tends to $0$ as $m\to\infty$. We conclude that

$$K=c\,\int_u^{\lambda}(I^{(0)}_{\beta/2}(\xi,\tau),I^{(0)}_{\alpha'}(\xi,\tau))\;d\xi=-c\,\int_{\tau-u\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}}\mathcal{W}_{\beta/2,\alpha'}.$$

## 8. Asymptotical elements of the Fock space

Various expressions with quantized symplectic transformations and vertex operators contain numerous infinite sums, and we have to discuss now precise meaning of our formulas.

By an *asymptotical function* we will mean an expression of the form

$$\exp\sum_{g\geq 0}\hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}(\mathbf{t}),$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ is a formal function on the space $H[\mathbf{t}]$ of polynomials $\mathbf{t}(z)=t_0+t_1z+t_2z^2+...$ with vector coefficients $t_k=\sum_{\alpha}t^{\alpha}_k\phi_{\alpha}\in H$.

We will say that an asymptotical function is *tame* if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha_1}_{k_1}}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{\alpha_r}_{k_r}}|_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}=0\quad\text{whenever}\quad k_1+...+k_r>3g-3+r.$$

In particular, each $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ is a formal series $\sum F^{(g)}_{a,b}(t_0)^a(t_1)^b$ of $t_0,t_1$ with the coefficients which are *polynomials* on $t_2,...,t_{3g-2+|a|}$.

The Witten – Kontsevich tau-function is tame (as well as ancestor potentials [5] in Gromov – Witten theory are — because $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,r}=3g-3+r$).

An asymptotical function is identified with *an asymptotical element in the Fock space* (in the formalism of Section 2) via the *dilaton shift* $\mathbf{q}(z)=\mathbf{t}(z)-z$ and becomes therefore an asymptotical function of $\mathbf{q}$ (tame or not) with respect to the *shifted origin* $\mathbf{q}=-z$. The notation $-z:=(-\mathbf{1})z$ is the only place where we use that the space $H$ contains a distinguished non-zero vector $\mathbf{1}$.

**Proposition 5.** *Let $R$ be an* upper-triangular *element of the twisted loop group, i. e. $R(z) = \mathbf{1} + R_1 z + R_2 z^2 + ...$, and $R^t(-z)R(z) = \mathbf{1}$. Then the action of the quantized operator $\hat{R}$ on tame asymptotical elements of the Fock space is well-defined and yields tame asymptotical elements.*

*Proof.* As mentioned in Section 7 the action of $\hat{R}^{-1}$ on an asymptotical function $\mathcal{G}$ takes the form

$$(\hat{R}^{-1}\mathcal{G})(\mathbf{t}) = (e^{\hbar V(\partial,\partial)/2}\mathcal{G})(R\mathbf{t} + \gamma), \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma(z) = z - R(z)z.$$

The operation $\ln G \mapsto \ln(e^{\hbar V(\partial,\partial)/2}\mathcal{G})$ can be described in terms of summation over connected graphs with vertex contributions defined by partial derivatives of $\ln G := \sum \hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$, and edge factors given by the coefficients $V_{kl}$ of the "Laplacian" $V(\partial,\partial)$. [10] In order to check that $\ln(e^{\hbar V(\partial,\partial)/2}\mathcal{G})$ is tame, let us examine the contribution of a connected graph with $E$ edges into a Taylor coefficient at $t_{k_1}^{\alpha_1}...t_{k_r}^{\alpha_r}$. Let

- $g(v)$ be the genus of a vertex $v$, $e(v)$ — the number of edges incident to the vertex ($\sum e(v) = 2E$),
- $l(v)$ — the total sum of the indices in the derivatives $V_{kl}\partial_{t_k}\partial_{t_l}$ applied to the vertex $v$ ($\sum l(v) =: L$),
- $r(v)$ — the number of marked points in $v$ ($\sum r(v) = r$),
- $k(v)$ — the total sum of the indices among $k_1, ..., k_r$ attributed to the vertex ($\sum k(v) = k_1 + ... + k_r =: K$).

The total genus $g$ of the graph (i.e. the power of $\hbar$ to which the graph contributes) is determined by the formula $g - 1 = \sum(g(v) - 1) + E$. We see that $g \geq 0$ since $g(v) \geq 0$ and $E - \sum_v 1 \geq -pr0file51$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is tame, the contribution of the graph vanishes unless $k(v) \leq 3g(v) - 3 + e(v) + r(v) - l(v)$ for each $v$. Summing up we find

$$K \leq 3\sum(g(v) - 1) + 2E + r = 3g - 3 + r - L - E \leq 3g - 3 + r.$$

Thus the required condition is satisfied. Moreover, the number of edges of the graph and the indices in the edge factors $V_{k,l}$ are bounded ($L + E \leq 3g - 3 + r$). Thus $\ln(e^{\hbar V(\partial,\partial)/2}\mathcal{G})$ is well-defined since there are only finitely many terms of each genus $g$ and degree $r$.

The substitution of $R(z)\mathbf{t}(z)$ instead of $\mathbf{t}(z)$ preserves the above conclusions since the multiplication by $R = R_0 + R_1 z + R_2 z^2 + ...$ does not decrease the indices $k_1, ..., k_r$ (determined by the degree in $z$).

Finally, the series $z - R(z)z$ starts with $z^2$ since $R_0 = \mathbf{1}$. Therefore the dilaton shift $\mathbf{t}(z) \mapsto \mathbf{t}(z) + z - R(z)z$ is also a well-defined operation in the class of tame asymptotical functions. □

As it is mentioned in Section 5, *lower-triangular* operators $\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}$ act on an asymptotical element $\mathcal{G}$ of the Fock space by $e^{W(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q})/\hbar}\mathcal{G}([S\mathbf{q}]_+)$. The change $\bar{\mathbf{q}} = [S\mathbf{q}]_+$ means $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(z) = [S_\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)]_+ - \tau$ or, in components, $\bar{t}_0 = \sum S_k(\tau)t_k - \tau$, $\bar{t}_1 = \sum S_k t_{k+1}$, ... Suppose that $\ln \mathcal{G}$ is a formal function of $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ and is therefore defined in the formal neighborhood of $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = 0$. When $\mathbf{t}(z)$ is a polynomial, $\bar{\mathbf{t}} = S_\tau \mathbf{t} - \tau = \mathbf{0}$

---

[10] We are not going to enter here a detailed discussion of the Wick formula underlying the graph summation technique. However the reader may track the origin of the "graphical" interpretation of the operator $R$ back to [6].

means $t_0 = \tau, t_1 = t_2 = ... = 0$. This makes $\ln(\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\mathcal{G})$ a well-defined formal function of $t_0 - \tau, t_1, t_2, ...$ (and $\hbar$).

The operators $\hat{S}$ with $S(z) = S_0 + S_1 z^{-1} + S_2 z^{-2} + ...$ do *not* preserve the class of tame functions. In particular this applies to the rightmost operator in $\Psi\hat{R}\exp(U/z)\hat{}$. Yet the formula (20) for the ancestor potential makes sense and defines a tame asymptotical function $\mathcal{A}_\tau$ because the operators $\exp(u/z)\hat{}$ *preserve* $\mathcal{D}_{A_1}$. Indeed, the string equation for the Witten – Kontsevich tau-function coincides with $(1/z)\hat{}\mathcal{D}_{A_1} = 0$.

More generally, let us call a tame asymptotical function $\mathcal{G}$ *$T$-stable*, if $T\mathcal{G}$ is also tame. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be $\exp(U/z)\hat{}$-stable for all diagonal matrices $U$. [11] Then $e^{\mathbf{F}^{(1)}}\mathcal{A}_\tau := \Psi_\tau\hat{R}_\tau\exp(U(\tau)/z)\hat{}\mathcal{G}$ are well-defined and tame, while $\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\tau$ are defined as asymptotical functions of $\mathbf{t}(z) - \tau$. Moreover, according to Theorem 7.1 in [5], the asymptotical element $\mathcal{D} := \prod \Delta_i^{-1/48}\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\mathcal{A}_\tau$ does not depend on $\tau$ and is therefore well-defined as an asymptotical function of $(t_0, t_1, ...)$ in the formal neighborhood of $(\tau, 0, ...)$ with any *semisimple* $\tau$.

Let us examine now the regularity condition (see Corollary to Proposition 2 of Section 7) in the description of integrable hierarchies via vertex operators. The action of the vertex operators of the form $\Gamma_\tau^{-\beta}\otimes\Gamma_\tau^{\beta}$ on functions $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}')\otimes\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}'')$ is described more explicitly (see (6), (7)) as composition of translations and multiplications:

$$\exp\left(2\sum_{k\geq 0}(I_\beta^{(-1-k)}, \frac{q_k}{\sqrt{\hbar}})\right)\exp\left(-\sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^k I_\beta^{(k)}\sqrt{\hbar}\partial_{q_k}\right)\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{q})\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}). \tag{33}$$

The coefficient $I_\beta^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau)$ can be represented near $\lambda = \infty$ by an infinite series in fractional powers $\lambda^\nu$ with the exponents $\nu$ from the union of $N$ arithmetical sequences $\mu_i - 1/2 - k + \mathbb{Z}_-$. As we remarked in Section 8, the phase factors $\exp\int\mathcal{W}_\beta$ also expand into such series with $\nu \in -\langle\beta,\beta\rangle + \mathbb{Z}_-$. The formulation that a vertex operator expression like (29) is *regular in* $\lambda$ instructs us to expand (33) into a $\mathbf{q}$-series. In fact (33) is manifestly invariant under the classical monodromy operator. As a result, the coefficient at a given monomial $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{m}}$ expands into a Laurent series in $\lambda^{-1}$ (since the coefficient at each power $\lambda^\nu$ depends only on finitely many $I_\beta^{(k)}$). The regularity condition, by definition, means that the coefficients at negative powers of $\lambda$ vanish (so that the Laurent series in $\lambda^{-1}$ is a polynomial in $\lambda$).

On the other hand, recalling the genus expansion $\mathcal{G} = \exp(\sum\hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)})$ and using the notation $Q_k := q_k/\sqrt{\hbar}$, we can rewrite (33) as

$$\exp\left[2\sum_{k\geq 0}(I_\beta^{(-1-k)}, Q_k) + \sum_{g\geq 0}\hbar^{g-1}\sum_{\pm}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}\left(\mathbf{x}\pm\sqrt{\hbar}\mathbf{Q}\mp\sqrt{\hbar}\sum_{k\geq 0}I_\beta^{(k)}(-z)^k\right)\right] \tag{34}$$

The functions $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ are formal series of $\mathbf{x}$. Rewriting the exponent as a series in $\hbar$ we see that the $\hbar^{-1}$-term $2\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})$ does not depend on $\lambda$ and all the $\hbar^{-1/2}$-terms cancel out.

---

[11] These requirements are satisfied, for example, if $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbf{q}_1)...\mathcal{D}_N(\mathbf{q}_N)$ where $\mathcal{D}_i$ are obtained from $\mathcal{D}_{A_1}$ by translations $\mathbf{q}\mapsto\mathbf{q}+\alpha$, where $\alpha(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + ...$ is a vector-polynomial (or even a series) with coefficients which are formal $\hbar$-series such that $a_0$ and $a_1$ are smaller than 1 in the $\hbar$-adic norm (and $a_k \to 0$ in this norm as $k\to\infty$).

**Proposition** 6. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{G} = \exp \sum \hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ *is a tame asymptotical function of* $\mathbf{x}$*. Then (33) divided by* $\exp(2\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})/\hbar)$ *expands into a power series in* $\sqrt{\hbar}, \mathbf{x}$ *and* $\mathbf{Q}$ *whose coefficients depend polynomially on finitely many* $I_\beta^{(k)}$ *each.*

*Proof.* Recall that expansions of each $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}(\mathbf{x})$ with as power series in $x_0, x_1$ have coefficients which depend only on finitely many $x_2, x_3, \ldots$. Note that each $I_\beta^{(0)}, I_\beta^{(1)}$ in (34) brings with itself an extra $\sqrt{\hbar}$. We conclude that modulo high powers of $\sqrt{\hbar}$ the exponent of (34) is a series in $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{x}$ whose coefficients depend polynomially on finitely many $I_\beta^{(k)}$ each. Subtracting the singular term $2\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\mathbf{x})/\hbar$ and exponentiating does not alter this conclusion. $\square$

Proposition 6 means, that the regularity requirement, when applied to tame asymptotical functions, can be understood not only as a statement about expansions near $\lambda = \infty$, but also as the property of analytic functions of $\lambda$ (the polynomial expressions of $I_\beta^{(k)}$ and of the phase factors $\exp \int \mathcal{W}_\beta$) to be single-valued polynomial functions of $\lambda$.

Finally, it is worth reiterating here some of our remarks from Sections 5 and 6 about conjugations of vertex operators by quantized elements of the twisted loop group:

- the conjugation $\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\Gamma_\tau^\beta \hat{S}_\tau$ by lower-triangular elements is well-defined via the expansion of $\sum_k I_\beta^{(k)}(\lambda, \tau)(-z)^k$ as a series near $\lambda = \infty$,
- the conjugation $\hat{R}_\tau^{-1}\Gamma_\tau^{\beta_i}\hat{R}_\tau$ by upper-triangular elements is well-defined in terms of expansions near the critical value $\lambda = u_i$, and
- the conjugation by $\exp(u_i/z)\hat{}$ acts on $\sum_k I^{(k)}(\lambda)(-z)^k$ as the translation $\lambda \mapsto \lambda + u_i$; it is applied in our computations only to the vertex operator defined by the analytic functions $I^{(k)}(\lambda) = (d/d\lambda)^k(\lambda - u_i)^{-1/2}$.

## 9. From $n$KdV to $n-1$ KdV

We prove here Theorem 1 as a special case (with $\mathcal{D}_1 = \ldots = \mathcal{D}_{n-1} = \mathcal{D}_{A_1}$) of a more general result which yields a solution of the $n$KdV hierarchy from $n-1$ solutions of the KdV hierarchy.

**Theorem** 4. *Suppose that asymptotical functions* $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{q}_i), i = 1, \ldots, n-1,$ *are tame and stable with respect to the string flows* $e^{(u_i/z)\hat{}}$*. Let us assume that the ingredients* $C, S, \Psi, R$ *and* $U$ *of the formula (1) correspond to the Frobenius structure of the* $A_{n-1}$*-singularity. Then*

$$\mathcal{D} := C(\tau)\hat{S}_\tau^{-1}\Psi(\tau)\hat{R}_\tau e^{(U(\tau)/z)\hat{}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{q}_i) \tag{35}$$

*satisfies the equations of the nKdV-hierarchy:*

$$\left[ \sum_{1\text{-point cycle } \alpha} \Gamma_0^{-\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_0^{\alpha} \ \lambda^{-\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} d\lambda \right] \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \quad \text{is regular in } \lambda. \tag{36}$$

*Proof.* Similarly to Corollary from Theorem 2 and Proposition 2, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathcal{A}_\tau := e^{-\mathbf{F}^{(1)}} \hat{S}_\tau \mathcal{D}$ satisfies the condition:

$$\left[ \sum_{\text{1-point cycle } \alpha} \Gamma_\tau^{-\alpha} \otimes \Gamma_\tau^{\alpha} \, e^{\int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_\alpha + \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle \int_1^\lambda \frac{d\xi}{\xi}} \lambda^{-\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle} d\lambda \right] \mathcal{A}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_\tau \ \text{ is regular in } \lambda \tag{37}$$

for at least one value of $\tau$. We choose $\tau$ to be generic (so that $F(\cdot,\tau)$ is a Morse function) and prove (37) as follows.

In view of Proposition 6 we can interpret (37) in terms of analytic functions in $\lambda$ (rather than series in $1/\lambda$). Since all the $n$ one-point cycles $\alpha$ form an orbit of the monodromy group of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity, one can argue that (37) is invariant under the whole monodromy group (and not only the classical monodromy operator). Thus (37) is meromorphic with possible poles at the distinct critical values $u_1, ..., u_{n-1}$. The regularity property will follow if we prove that there are no poles at $\lambda = u_i$.

Let $\beta = \alpha_+ - \alpha_-$ be the cycle vanishing at $\lambda = u_i$, and $\alpha_\pm$ are two of the $n$ one-point cycles. If $\alpha \neq \alpha_\pm$, then $\alpha$ is invariant under the monodromy around $u_i$, the corresponding vector-functions $I_\alpha^{(k)}$ are holomorphic at $\lambda = u_i$, and therefore the phase factor and respectively the whole summand in (37) with the index $\alpha$ is holomorphic at $\lambda = u_i$ as well.

When $\alpha = \alpha_\pm$, we have $\alpha = \pm\beta/2 + \alpha'$ where $\alpha' = (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)/2$ is invariant under the monodromy around $u_i$. Thus $I_{\alpha_\pm}^{(k)} = I_{\pm\beta/2}^{(k)} + I_{\alpha'}^{(k)}$ where the second summand is holomorphic at $\lambda = u_i$. We have therefore

$$\Gamma_\tau^{\alpha_\pm} = e^{\pm K} \, \Gamma_\tau^{\alpha'} \, \Gamma_\tau^{\pm\beta/2}, \tag{38}$$

where the proportionality coefficient $e^{\pm K}$ is described by Proposition 4 (with $u = u_i$, and $c = \pm 1$). Thus the two summands in (37) with $\alpha = \alpha_\pm$ add up to

$$\Gamma_\tau^{-\alpha'} \otimes \Gamma_\tau^{\alpha'} \left[ \left( \sum_\pm C_\pm(\lambda) \Gamma_\tau^{\mp\beta/2} \otimes \Gamma_\tau^{\pm\beta/2} \right) \mathcal{A}_\tau \otimes \mathcal{A}_\tau \right] \, d\lambda, \tag{39}$$

where $C_\pm$ are some phase factors combined from (37) and (38).

Let us now recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_\tau = \Psi \hat{R} e^{(U/z)\hat{}} \prod \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{q}_i) \mathcal{D}_i^{-1/48}$$

and apply Theorem 3. We see that

- the square bracket in (39) has the form of the operator $\Psi \hat{R} e^{(U/z)\hat{}}$ applied to a product $\prod \mathcal{F}_i$ of $n-1$ functions in $n-1$ different groups of variables $(\mathbf{q}_i', \mathbf{q}_i'')$,
- the factors $\mathcal{F}_i$ corresponding to $i$ with $\beta_i \neq \beta$ are equal to $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{q}_i')\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{q}_i'')$,
- the factor corresponding to $\beta_i = \beta$ has the form

$$\sum_{\sqrt{2\lambda} = \pm\zeta} c_\pm(\lambda) (\Gamma^- \mathcal{D}_i)(\mathbf{q}_i') \; (\Gamma^+ \mathcal{D}_i)(\mathbf{q}_i'') \, \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda}}, \tag{40}$$

- the phase factors $c_\pm/\sqrt{\lambda}$ come from $C_\pm$ and from the phase factors described by Proposition 3.

We assume that the factors $\sqrt{\lambda}$ here differ by the sign (rather than coincide) the same way as in (11) (or (15) when $n = 2$).

*We claim that near $\lambda = u_i$ the functions $c_\pm(\lambda)$ coincide, are single-valued and analytic.*

In order to justify the claim, let us compute the phase factors explicitly. We have:

$$\ln c_\pm = \int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_\pm} + \langle\alpha_\pm,\alpha_\pm\rangle \int_1^\lambda \frac{d\xi}{\xi} - \ln\lambda^{\langle\alpha_\pm,\alpha_\pm\rangle} + \ln\sqrt{\lambda} - \int_1^\lambda \frac{d\xi}{2\xi} \tag{41}$$

$$\pm 2\int_{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2,\alpha'} + \int_{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}} (\mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2} - \frac{dt_N}{2(\tau_N-u_i-t_N)}) - \int_{\lambda-u_i}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{2\xi}. \tag{42}$$

Using bi-linearity of the phase form $\mathcal{W}$ with respect to the cycles $\alpha_\pm = \pm\beta_i/2+\alpha'$ we rewrite:

$$\ln c_\pm = \int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-\lambda\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha'} + \langle\alpha_\pm,\alpha_\pm\rangle \oint_{\gamma_\pm} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} + \oint_{\gamma'_\pm} \frac{d\xi}{2\xi} \tag{43}$$

(44)

$$\pm 2\int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2,\alpha'} + \int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-(u_i+1)\mathbf{1}} \mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2} + \int_{\tau-(u_i+1)\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}} (\mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2} - \frac{dt_N}{2(\tau_N-u_i-t_N)})$$

$$+ \int_{\tau_N-(u_i+1)}^{\tau_N-\lambda} \frac{dt_N}{2(\tau_N-u_i-t_N)} - \int_{\lambda-u_i}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{2\xi}. \tag{45}$$

The constant $u_i + 1$ is chosen to make the integrals in (45) cancel exactly. The contours $\gamma_\pm, \gamma'_\pm$ in (43) as well as all terms in (44) may depend on the cycle $\alpha_\pm$ but are independent of $\lambda$, while the first integral in (43) is a function of $\lambda$ analytic at $\lambda = u_i$ and independent of the cycle. This implies that the phase factors $c_\pm$ are proportional to each other and are analytic near $\lambda = u_i$.

Let us show that the proportionality coefficient equals 1. Since $\beta_i/2 = (\alpha_+ - \alpha_-)/2$ and $\alpha' = (\alpha_+ + \alpha_-)/2$, we have $4\mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2,\alpha'} = \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_+} - \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_-}$ and therefore

$$\ln c_+ - \ln c_- = \langle\alpha_-,\alpha_-\rangle \oint_{\gamma_+-\gamma_-} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} + \int_{-\mathbf{1}}^{\tau-u_i\mathbf{1}} (\mathcal{W}_{\alpha_+} - \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_-}) + \oint_{\gamma'_+-\gamma'_-} \frac{d\xi}{2\xi}. \tag{46}$$

Note that the one-point cycles $\alpha_\pm$ belong to the same orbit of the classical monodromy (i.e. the cyclic group of the Coxeter transformation) and therefore the first integral in (46) can be interpreted as $\oint_{\gamma_1} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_-}$ where the loop $\gamma_1$ makes several turns about $\lambda = 0$ inside the line $-\lambda\mathbf{1}$ so that $\alpha_-$ transported along the loop becomes $\alpha_+$ in the end.

Let $\gamma_2(\varepsilon)$ denote the path starting at $-\mathbf{1}$ and approaching the point $\tau - u_i\mathbf{1}$ on the discriminant (as in the second term in (46)) but stopping a small distance $\varepsilon$ away from it. Let $\gamma_3(\varepsilon)$ be a loop of size $\varepsilon$ going around the discriminant near $\tau - u_i\mathbf{1}$ (so that $\alpha_+$ transported along $\gamma_3$ becomes $\alpha_-$ in the end). The integral $\int \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_+}$ along the path $\gamma_2(\varepsilon)\gamma_3(\varepsilon)\gamma_2^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ does not depend on $\varepsilon$ (for homotopy reasons). In the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ it spits out the middle term of (46) plus $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\gamma_3(\varepsilon)} \mathcal{W}_{\alpha_+}$. Writing $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha_+} = \mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2} + 2\mathcal{W}_{\beta_i/2,\alpha'} + \mathcal{W}_{\alpha'}$ near $\tau - u_i\mathbf{1}$ we see that the first summand contains the term $d\lambda/2(\lambda - u_i)$, and the rest is either analytic at $\lambda = u_i$ or has a singularity like (analytic function) × $d\lambda/\sqrt{\lambda-u_i}$. This implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\gamma_3(\varepsilon)}\mathcal{W}_{\alpha_+}=\oint\frac{d\lambda}{2(\lambda-u_i)}=\pi\sqrt{-1},$$

which coincides with the last integral in (46). We conclude that (46) can be interpreted as the period of $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha_-}$ along the loop $\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_2^{-1}$. The cycle $\alpha_-$ is invariant under the monodromy along this loop. According to Proposition 1 the period is an integer multiple of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}$. Thus $c_+=c_-$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is now completed as follows. Since $\mathcal{D}_i$ satisfy the KdV hierarchy, we conclude that (40) is regular in $\lambda$. This implies that $\prod\mathcal{F}_i$, and hence (39) is single-valued near $\lambda=u_i$ and has no pole at $\lambda=u_i$. Since the other ingredients of (37) are also holomorphic at $\lambda=u_i$, we find that (37) is regular at $\lambda=u_i$. In particular, (37) is invariant with respect to the whole monodromy group (regardless of reliability of the previously mentioned abstract argument) and is regular in $\lambda$. □

## 10. Some applications

Due to Theorem 1 the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ defined by (1) satisfies the $n$KdV-hierarchy and is therefore "a tau-function". In addition it satisfies the string equation $(1/z)\hat{}\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}=0$ (due to [5]). Solutions of the $n$KdV-hierarchies satisfying the string equation have been studied in the literature (see for instance [21, 18]) under the name *$W_n$-gravity.* By definition, the tau-functions in the $W_n$-gravity theory are formal functions of the variables $t_0,t_1,t_2,...\in H$. Our functions $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$, to the contrary, are known to expand in formal series near *semisimple* $t_0$. It is our present goal to identify $\mathcal{D}_{A_n}$ with the tau-function singled out in the theory of $W_n$-gravity, and in particular — to establish analyticity of the total descendent potential at $t_0=0$.

It will be convenient for us to use another form of the $n$KdV-hierarchy based on the concept of Baker functions. Let $\exp\sum_{g\geq 0}\hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}(\mathbf{t})$ be an asymptotical function in a formal neighborhood of $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}$. Given an asymptotical function $\mathcal{G}$, the corresponding *Baker function* [19] (or *wave function* [11]) is defined as

$$b_{\mathcal{G}}=(\Gamma^{\alpha}\mathcal{G})/\mathcal{G}=e^{-\sum_{k\geq 0}(I_{\alpha}^{(-1-k)},q_k)/\sqrt{\hbar}}\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{q}+\sqrt{\hbar}\sum_{k\geq 0}I_{\alpha}^{(k)}(-z)^k)/\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{q}).$$

Here $\Gamma^{\alpha}$ is the vertex operator (13) corresponding to a one-point cycle $\alpha$. The Baker function can be understood as a $q$-series

$$b_{\mathcal{G}}=\sum b_{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mathbf{m})}\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{m}}$$

with coefficients $b_{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mathbf{m})}$ which are Laurent series of $\zeta^{-1}=\lambda^{-1/n}$ (whose coefficients, in their turn, are Laurent series in $\sqrt{\hbar}$). Let $\mathbb{C}_{\sqrt{\hbar}}((\zeta^{-1}))$ be the space of all such Laurent series, and let $V_{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the subspace spanned over $\mathbb{C}_{\sqrt{\hbar}}[\lambda]$ by the coefficients $b_{\mathcal{G}}^{(\mathbf{m})}$. According to the grassmannian description [19] of the KP-hierarchy, $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the $n$KdV-hierarchy if and only if $V_{\mathcal{G}}$ belongs to the principal cell of the semi-infinite grassmannian (i.e. projects isomorphically onto $\mathbb{C}_{\sqrt{\hbar}}[\zeta]$ along $\zeta^{-1}\mathbb{C}_{\sqrt{\hbar}}[[\zeta^{-1}]]$).

On the other hand, conjugation of $\Gamma^\alpha(\lambda)\lambda^{-\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle/2}$ by the string flow $\exp(u/z)\hat{}$ yields $\Gamma^\alpha(\lambda-u)(\lambda-u)^{-\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle/2}$ and therefore

$$[(1/z)\hat{}, \Gamma^\alpha] = d/d\lambda - \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle/2\lambda. \tag{47}$$

In particular the string flow $\exp(u/z)\hat{}$ acts on the vertex operator expression in (15) by translation $\lambda \mapsto \lambda - u$ and therefore preserves the regularity requirement in (15). Thus the string flow is a symmetry of the $n$KdV-hierarchy. Moreover, let $\mathcal{G}_\tau$ be the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ considered as an asymptotical function in the formal variable $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{q} - \tau + z$, where $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_i[\phi_i] \in H$ is a semisimple point (and $z$ represents the dilaton shift). The invariance of $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ with respect to the string flow can be restated via the Baker function $b_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ as invariance of the space $V_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ with respect to the operator

$$A := \frac{d}{d\lambda} - \frac{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}{2\lambda} + \frac{(\tau, I_\alpha^{(0)}(\lambda))}{\sqrt{\hbar}} - \frac{(\mathbf{1}, I_\alpha^{(-1)}(\lambda))}{\sqrt{\hbar}}.$$

The first two terms here come from (47) and the others come from

$$e^{\partial_\tau - z\partial_{\mathbf{1}}}\Gamma^\alpha = \exp\{\hbar^{-1/2}[(\tau, I_\alpha^{(-1)}) - (\mathbf{1}, I_\alpha^{(-2)})]\}\ \Gamma^\alpha e^{\partial_\tau - z\partial_{\mathbf{1}}}.$$

Note that the space $V_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ contains $v := b_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}|_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{0}}$ which is a power series in $\zeta^{-1}$ with the constant term 1. Such series form a group acting on the semi-infinite grassmannian via multiplication. The invariance of $V_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ with respect to $A$ is equivalent to invariance of $U := v^{-1}V_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ relative to $B = v^{-1}Av$. We have

$$B = \frac{d}{d\lambda} + \frac{(\mathbf{1}, I_\alpha^{(-1)}(\lambda))}{\sqrt{\hbar}} + \frac{(\tau, I_\alpha^{(0)}(\lambda))}{\sqrt{\hbar}} - \frac{\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle}{2\lambda} + \sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^k \frac{(f_k, I_\alpha^{(k+1)})}{\sqrt{\hbar}}, \tag{48}$$

where the linear function $\sum_{k\geq 0}(-1)^k(f_k, q_k)$ of $\mathbf{q}$ is the differential of $\hbar \ln \mathcal{G}_\tau$ at $\mathbf{t} = 0$. The space $U$ is a free $\mathbb{C}_{\sqrt{\hbar}}[\lambda]$-module of rank $n$. It contains the series 1 and hence contains all $\lambda^m$ and all $B^k(1)$. Note that the $\zeta^{-1}$-series $B^k(1)$ starts with $\zeta^k$ (since $(\mathbf{1}, I_\alpha^{(-1)}) \sim \lambda^{1/n}$) and therefore $1, B(1), ..., B^{n-1}(1)$ form a basis in $U$, while $B^n(1) = \hbar^{-n/2}n\lambda + \sum_{k>0} a_k\lambda^{1-k/n}$. The coefficients $a_1, ..., a_n$ are uniquely determined by $\tau$. Representing $B^n(1) - \hbar^{-n/2}n\lambda$ as a linear combination of the basis vectors, we obtain a system of equations for $f_0, f_1, ...$. It is straightforward to see that the system is triangular and unambiguously determines all $f_k$ via $\tau$. We find that the space $U$ and respectively $V_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is unique for each $\tau$. Due to the correspondence between semi-infinite subspaces, Baker functions and tau-functions (see for instance [19] or Exercises 14.44 – 14.47 in [11]) we conclude that *the asymptotic function $\mathcal{G}_\tau$ is completely characterized up to a scalar factor as a formal solution to the nKdV-hierarchy near $\mathbf{q} = \tau - z$ satisfying the string equation.*

Let us consider now the tau-function function $\mathcal{G}_\tau$ corresponding to the (non-semisimple) $\tau = 0$. Existence of the function and of the corresponding space $V_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ follows from the results of [18] (or from the above argument which is a slight variation on the theme of [18] anyway). Note that the corresponding operator

$$A = d/d\lambda - \hbar^{-1/2}(n\lambda)^{1/n} - (n-1)/2n\lambda \tag{49}$$

is homogeneous (of degree $-1$) with respect to the grading $\deg\lambda = 1$, $\deg\hbar = 2 + 2/n$. This implies that the basis $A^k(v)$, $k = 0, ..., n-1$, in $V_{\mathcal{G}_0}$ and respectively the tau-function $\mathcal{G}_0$ are homogeneous in the appropriate sense. More explicitly, $\ln \mathcal{G}_0$ has the form $\sum \hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}(\mathbf{t})$, where $\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ are formal series of $t_{i,k}$, $i = 1, ..., n-$

$1,\ k=0,1,2,...$ homogeneous of degree $(1-g)(2+2/n)$ with respect to the grading $\deg t_{i,k}=(i+1)/n\ -\ k$. This follows from the famous fact [19] that the flows of the KP-hierarchy (which in our notation are represented by the derivations $\sqrt{\hbar}\partial_{t_{i,k}}$) correspond in the grassmannian description to the multiplication by $\zeta^{kn+n-i}$ (and hence $(kn+n-i)\deg\zeta=\deg\sqrt{\hbar}-\deg t_{i,k}$).

By definition, the asymptotical function $\mathcal{G}_0$ is "the tau-function of the $W_n$-gravity theory" and, according to a conjecture of E. Witten [21], coincides with the total descendent potential in the intersection theory (developed in [16]) on moduli spaces of complex curves equipped with $n$-spin structures.

Consider now the formal homogeneous function $\hbar\ln\mathcal{G}_0$ as a power series in $\hbar,t_1,t_2,...$ with coefficients (which are therefore also homogeneous) depending on $t_0=(t_{i,0},...,t_{n-1,0})$ . Since all the components of $t_0$ have positive degrees, we conclude that each coefficient is *polynomial* in $t_0$. Thus translations $\mathcal{G}_0(t_0+\tau,t_1,t_2,...)$ are well-defined and yield asymptotical functions satisfying the same conditions — the $n$KdV-hierarchy and the string equation — as $\mathcal{G}_\tau(t_0,t_1,t_2,...)$. The previous uniqueness argument now implies $\mathcal{G}_\tau(\mathbf{t})=\mathcal{G}_0(\mathbf{t}+\tau)$ for all $\tau\in H$. We have proved the following result.

**Theorem 5.** *The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity coincides with the tau-function $\mathcal{G}_0$ introduced in the $W_n$-gravity theory.*

**Corollaries.** *(1) The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity (which is an asymptotical function of $t_0,t_1,...$ defined in a formal neighborhood of $(t_0,0,...)$ with semisimple $t_0$) extends across the caustic to arbitrary $t_0\in H$.*

*(2) The ancestor potentials $\mathcal{A}_\tau=\hat{S}_\tau\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ are well-defined for all $\tau\in H$.*

*(3) The descendent potential $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}}=\mathcal{A}_0$ and is tame.*

*(4) The Gromov – Witten potentials $\mathbf{F}^{(g)}$ of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity (defined by $\sum\hbar^{g-1}\mathbf{F}^{(g)}(\tau):=\ln\mathcal{A}_\tau|_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}}$) are polynomial functions of $\tau\in H$ of weighted degree $(1-g)(2+2/n)$ and therefore vanish for $g>1$.*

## Appendix: Dispersionless limit

In the "dispersionless limit" $\hbar\to 0$ Theorem 1 implies that the genus-0 descendent potential $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ of Saito's Frobenius structure on the miniversal deformation of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity satisfies the dispersionless $n$KdV-hierarchy. We give here a more direct proof of this fact using only the general theory of $n$KdV-hierarchies and the results of Section 5. No doubt, this relationship between the dispersionless nKdV hierarchies and $A_{n-1}$-singularities has been known for quite a while (see for instance, [3, 14]), but we are not so sure about the following lemmas.

Let us recall from Section 3 that an asymptotical function $\Phi(\mathbf{x})=e^{\sum\hbar^{g-1}\phi^{(g)}(\mathbf{x})}$ is said to satisfy the KP-hierarchy if

$$(50)\qquad \operatorname{Res}_{\zeta=\infty}d\zeta\ e^{2\sum_{j>0}\zeta^j y_j/\sqrt{\hbar}}\ e^{-\sum_{j>0}\frac{\zeta^{-j}}{j}\sqrt{\hbar}\partial_{y_j}}\ \Phi(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\Phi(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})=0.$$

**Lemma 1.** *A function $\phi^{(0)}$ satisfies the dispersionless limit of the KP-hierarchy (nKdV-hierarchy) if and only if for each $\mathbf{q}$ the function $\exp[(d^2_{\mathbf{q}}\phi^{(0)})(\mathbf{x})/2\hbar]$, where $d^2_{\mathbf{q}}\phi$ is the quadratic form of the 2nd differential of $\phi^{(0)}$ at $\mathbf{q}$, satisfies the KP-hierarchy (the nKdV-hierarchy respectively).*

*Proof.* In order to pass to the limit $\hbar \to 0$, divide (50) by $\Phi^2(\mathbf{x})$, put $Y := \mathbf{y}/\sqrt{\hbar}$ (and respectively $\sqrt{\hbar}\partial_{\mathbf{y}} = \partial_Y$) and expand

$$\frac{\Phi(\mathbf{x}+\sqrt{\hbar}Y)}{\Phi(\mathbf{x})}\frac{\Phi(\mathbf{x}-\sqrt{\hbar}Y)}{\Phi(\mathbf{x})} = e^{W(Y)+O(\hbar)},$$

where $W(Y)$ is the quadratic form $d_{\mathbf{x}}^2\phi^{(0)}$. Taking $\hbar = 0$ results in a closed system of equations for $\phi^{(0)}$ which, by definition, is the *dispersionless* KP-hierarchy. Namely, $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the differential equations of the dispersionless hierarchy if for all $\mathbf{x}$ the quadratic differential $W = d_{\mathbf{x}}^2\phi$ satisfies the system of algebraic equations

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\zeta=\infty} d\zeta \; e^{2\sum_{j>0}\zeta^j Y_j} \; e^{-\sum_{j>0}\frac{\zeta^{-j}}{j}\partial_{Y_j}} \; e^{W(Y)} = 0. \tag{51}$$

It is an observation of T. Milanov that the condition (51) for a quadratic form $W$ is equivalent to (50) for the corresponding *Gaussian distribution* $\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = e^{W(\mathbf{x})/2\hbar}$. (One may in fact take $\hbar = 1$ everywhere.)

Solutions of the (dispersionless) $n$KdV-hierarchy are those solutions of the (dispersionless) KP-hierarchy which do not depend on $x_i$ with $i$ divisible by $n$. □

*Remark.* The form (50) of the KP-hierarchy is stronger than the usual system of dynamical equations for the function $u := (\ln\Phi)_{xx}$ (here $x = x_1$). For example, the KdV-hierarchy in the form $u_{x_i} = (\mathcal{L}_i(u, u_x, u_x x, ...))_x$ is automatically satisfied by any $\Phi = \exp W/2$ since $u$ is constant. We will see in a moment that this is not at all the case for the algebraic system (51).

Which Gaussian distributions $e^{\sum_{ij} W_{ij}x_ix_j/2}$ (we put $\hbar = 1$) satisfy the KP- and $n$KdV-hierarchies? Consider the corresponding Baker function

$$b_W(\mathbf{x}) := e^{\sum \zeta^j x_j} e^{-\sum \zeta^{-j}\partial_{x_j}/j} e^{W(\mathbf{x})/2} = b_W(\mathbf{0}) e^{\sum_i x_i(\zeta^i - \sum_j W_{ij}\zeta^{-j}/j)},$$

where $b_W(\mathbf{0}) = \exp(\sum_{ij} W_{ij}\zeta^{-i-j}/2ij)$. Let $V_W$ denote the subspace in $\mathbb{C}((\zeta^{-1}))$ spanned by the Taylor coefficients of the *normalized* Baker function $b_W(\mathbf{x})/b_W(\mathbf{0})$.

**Lemma 2.** *A Gaussian distribution* $\exp W/2$ *satisfies the KP-hierarchy (nKdV-hierarchy) if and only if the corresponding normalized Baker function generates a semi-infinite subspace* $V_W$ *which is a subring (respectively a* $\mathbb{C}[\zeta^n]$*-subalgebra) in* $\mathbb{C}((\zeta^{-1}))$.

*Proof.* Indeed, the subspace $V_W$ being semi-infinite (which is necessary and sufficient for a function to satisfy the KP-hierarchy) means that the Laurent series

$$1, \;\; \zeta - \sum W_{1j}\zeta^{-j}/j, \;\; \zeta^2 - \sum W_{2j}\zeta^{-j}/j, \;\; ...$$

form a basis in $V_W$. Taylor coefficients of the normalized Baker function are arbitrary products of these series which therefore have to be in $V_W$.

Solutions to $n$KdV-hierarchy correspond to semi-infinite subspaces invariant with respect to multiplication by $\zeta^n$. □.

Note that

(i) the above basis in the space $V_W$ is canonical in the sense that it is obtained by lifting the basis $1, \zeta, \zeta^2, ...$ from $\mathbb{C}[\zeta]$ to $V_W$ along $\zeta^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[\zeta^{-1}]]$,

(ii) the Baker function of a space $V$ from the principal cell of the semi-infinite grassmannian is normalized *iff* the 1st element in the canonical basis is 1,

(iii) the rest of the basis determines the coefficients $W_{ij}$ unambiguously, which establishes a 1–1 correspondence between Gaussian distributions satisfying the KP-hierarchy and semi-infinite subrings $V \subset \mathbb{C}((\zeta^{-1}))$ from the principal cell of the grassmannian,

(iv) $V_W = \mathbb{C}[x]$, where $x := \zeta - \sum W_{1j}\zeta^{-j}/j$, and $W_{1j}, j = 1, 2, ...$, are arbitrary numbers.

**Corollary.** *Gaussian distributions satisfying the* $n$*KdV-hierarchy are in* 1–1 *correspondence with equations of the form*

$$x^n + \tau_1 x^{n-2} + ... + \tau_{n-1} = \lambda, \tag{52}$$

*parameterized by* $\tau = (\tau_1, ..., \tau_{n-1})$.

*Proof.* When $V_W = \mathbb{C}[x]$ corresponds to a solution of the $n$KdV-hierarchy, we must have $\zeta^n \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ and therefore $\zeta^n = x^n + \tau_0 x^{n-1} + ... + \tau_{n-1}$ for some $\tau_0, ..., \tau_{n-1}$. On the other hand, $W_{1j}$ must vanish for all $j$ divisible by $n$. Since all $n$ solutions to the equation have the form $x(\epsilon\zeta)$ where $\epsilon$ runs through the $n$th roots of 1, we conclude that the sum $-\tau_0$ of all the $n$ solutions vanishes.

Vice versa, solving the equation for $x$ by perturbation theory near $x|_{\tau=0} = \lambda^{1/n}$ yields a series $x = \zeta + \sum_{j\geq 0} w_j(\tau)\zeta^{-j}$ in $\zeta = \lambda^{1/n}$. Since the sum of all the $n$ solutions $x(\epsilon\zeta)$ equals 0, we conclude that $w_j = 0$ for all $j$ divisible by $n$. The semi-infinite subspace $\mathbb{C}[x(\zeta)] \subset \mathbb{C}((\zeta))$ is invariant under the multiplication by $\zeta^n = \lambda$ due to (52). $\square$

The genus-0 descendent potential $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ of a Frobenius manifold (constructed in [3]) can be described (due to Proposition 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 in [5]) in terms of the function $W$ discussed in Section 7:

$$W_\tau(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}) = \int_0^\tau \sum([S_t\mathbf{q}]_0, \partial_i \bullet [S_t\mathbf{q}]_0)dt_i \tag{53}$$

Namely, let us regard $W/2$ as a family of functions in $\tau \in H$ depending (quadraticly) on the parameter $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{H}_+ = H[z]$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ is the *critical value function* for this family. More precisely, the critical points $\tau$ are given by the equations $([S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0, \partial_i \bullet [S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0) = 0$ for all $i$. This is equivalent to $[S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0 \bullet [S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0 = 0$ and is satisfied whenever $[S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0 = 0$. Recall that $[S\mathbf{q}]_0 = q_0 + S_1q_1 + S_2q_2 + ...$ where $S = \mathbf{1} + S_1z^{-1} + ...$, $\mathbf{q} = q_0 + q_1z + ....$ When $\mathbf{q}(z) = t_0 - z$, we have $[S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0 = t_0 - \tau$ and find a critical point $\tau = t_0$. In general the equation $[S_\tau\mathbf{q}]_0 = 0$ has a unique solution $\tau(\mathbf{t})$ defined by perturbation theory as a formal function of $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{q} + z$ (dilaton shift). Then $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}(\mathbf{t}) = W_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}/2$.

In fact the quadratic differential $d^2_{\mathbf{t}}\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ coincides with the quadratic form $W_{\tau(\mathbf{t})}$. In particular, it depends only on the critical point $\tau$ (rather than the parameter value $\mathbf{t}$). [12] We are going to show that in the case of $A_{n-1}$-singularities the Gaussian distributions $e^{W_\tau/2}$ defined by (53) satisfy the $n$KdV-hierarchy — of course, modulo the rescaling (14): $q_{i,k} = i(i+n)...(i+kn)x_{i+kn}$.

---

[12] Moreover, according to [2, 8], Frobenius structures equipped with the genus-0 descendent potentials have the following axiomatic characterization. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the (germ at $-z$ of a) Lagrangian section in $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$ defined as the graph of $d\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ (subject to the dilaton shift). Identifying $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$ with $(\mathcal{H}, \Omega)$ by means of the standard polarization $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, we may regard $\mathcal{L}$ as a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathcal{H} = H((z^{-1}))$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ *is a cone with the vertex at the origin and such that* $\mathcal{L}$ *intersects its tangent spaces* $L$ *along* $zL$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}$ is swept by the spaces $zL$ varying in $\dim L/zL = \dim H$-parametric family, and the tangent spaces to $\mathcal{L}$ along each $zL$ are constant and coincide with $L$.

**Lemma 3.** *The normalized Baker function of the Gaussian distribution $e^{W_\tau/2}$ corresponding to (53) is equal to*

$$\exp\left[\Omega\left(S_\tau(z)\mathbf{q}(z),\ \sum_{k\geq 0} I_\alpha^{(-1-k)}(\lambda,\tau)(-z)^{-1-k}\right)\right]. \tag{54}$$

*Proof.* By definition, the Baker function $b_{W_\tau}$ is $e^{-W_\tau/2\hbar}\Gamma^\alpha e^{W_\tau/2\hbar}$ which after normalization and at $\hbar = 1$ becomes

$$e^{-\sum_{k\geq 0}(I_\alpha^{(-1-k)}(\lambda,0),q_k)}e^{W_\tau(\sum_{k\geq 0}(-z)^k I_\alpha^{(k)}(\lambda,0),\mathbf{q})}. \tag{55}$$

Theorem 2 from Section 5 says that

$$S_\tau(z)\sum(-z)^k I_\alpha^{(k)}(\lambda,0) = \sum(-z)^k I_\alpha^{(k)}(\lambda,\tau).$$

On the other hand, $dI_\alpha^{(m-1)} = -a\wedge I_\alpha^{(m)}$ and $dS = a\wedge S/z$ where $a = \sum(\partial_i\bullet)dt_i = a^t$. In particular $d[S\mathbf{q}]_m = a\wedge[S\mathbf{q}]_{m+1}$. Therefore computing the second exponent in (55) from (53) and integrating by parts we find

$$\int_0^\tau (I_\alpha^{(0)}(\lambda,t), a(t)\wedge[S_t\mathbf{q}]_0) = \int_0^\tau (a\wedge I_\alpha^{(0)}, [S\mathbf{q}]_0) = -\int_0^\tau (dI_\alpha^{-1}, [S\mathbf{q}]_0) =$$

$$-(I_\alpha^{-1}, [S\mathbf{q}]_0)|_0^\tau + \int_0^\tau (I_\alpha^{-1}, a\wedge[S\mathbf{q}]_1) = ... = -\sum_{k\geq 0}(I_\alpha^{(-1-k)}, [S\mathbf{q}]_k)|_0^\tau.$$

(The integral term eventually disappears because $\mathbf{q}$ is a polynomial in $z$.) The value at the lower limit $t = 0$ cancels with the first exponent in (55), and the value at $t = \tau$ coincides with (54). $\square$

**Corollary.** *The vector space $V_{W_\tau}$ corresponding to the normalized Baker function (54) is spanned by 1 and by the components $(I_\alpha^{(-1-k)}(\lambda,\tau),[\phi_i])$ of the period maps $I_\alpha^{(m)}$ with $m < 0$.*

The components of $I_\alpha^{(-1)}$ are periods of the differential 0-forms $x$, $x^2/2$, ..., $x^{n-1}/(n-1)$ on the level sets

$$\frac{x^n}{n} + \tau_1 x^{n-2} + ... + \tau_{n-1} = \lambda \tag{56}$$

in the miniversal deformation of the $A_{n-1}$-singularity. In the case when $\alpha$ is a one-point cycle (i.e. $x$), the $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$-module generated by $1, x, x^2, ..., x^{n-1}$ is a subring in $\mathbb{C}((\lambda^{1/n}))$ due to (56). It remains to show therefore that this subring coincides with $V_{W_\tau}$, i.e. that it contains all components of $I_\alpha^{(m)}$ for $m < -1$. Thus the following lemma completes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** *The period maps $I_\alpha^{(m)}$ satisfy the equation*

$$(\mu + 1/2 - m)I_\alpha^{(m-1)} = (\lambda - E\bullet)I_\alpha^{(m)}$$

*where $E = \sum_i(\deg\tau_i)\tau_i\partial_{\tau_i}$ is the Euler field and $\mu + 1/2$ is the spectral matrix, i.e. the diagonal matrix with entries $1/n, 2/n, ..., n-1/n$.*

*Proof.* In view of the equations $\partial_i I = (\partial_i \bullet)\partial_{n-1} I$ and $\partial_{n-1} I = -\partial_\lambda I$ satisfied by all $I_\alpha^{(k)}$, the lemma is a reformulation of the homogeneity condition $(\lambda\partial_\lambda + E) I_\alpha^{(k)} = (\mu - 1/2 - k) I_\alpha^{(k)}$ discussed in Section 5. □

*Remark.* According to a uniqueness result of Dubrovin and Zhang [4], the total descendent potential of a *semisimple* Frobenius manifold is completely characterized as an asymptotical function $\exp \hbar^{g-1}\mathcal{F}^{(g)}$ which satisfies (i) the Virasoro constraints, (ii) the so-called $3g-2$-jet condition, and (iii) whose genus-0 part $\mathcal{F}^{(0)}$ coincides with the genus-0 descendent potential of the Frobenius manifold (constructed in [3]). According to [5], the function $\mathcal{D}_{A_{n-1}}$ satisfies (i),(ii),(iii) and thus would coincide with the tau-function $\mathcal{G}_0$ of the $W_n$-gravity theory (see Section 10), if $\mathcal{G}_0$ were shown to satisfy (i),(ii),(iii) as well. In fact, the Virasoro constraints for $\mathcal{G}_0$ are well-known (see for instance [18]) and follow from the invariance of the corresponding semi-infinite subspace $V_0 \subset \mathbb{C}_{\sqrt{\hbar}}((\lambda^{-1/n}))$ under the operators $\lambda^m A$ (where $A$ is given by (49)). It is plausible (although at the moment we don't know a direct proof of this) that the $3g-2$-jet property, which is equivalent to the ancestor potentials $\mathcal{A}_\tau := \hat{S}_\tau \mathcal{G}_0$ being tame for all $\tau \in H$, can be derived from a Lax-type description of the $n$KdV-hierarchy. Thus, since the results of this Appendix imply (iii), this would give another proof of Theorems 1 and 5. Also, Dubrovin and Zhang have informed the author that (yet another?) proof of these results can be obtained on the basis of their axiomatic theory of integrable hierarchies [4].

## References

[1] V. I. Arnold, S. M. Gusein-Zade, A. N. Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps. Vol. II. Monodromy and asymptotics of integrals.* Monographs in Mathematics, 83. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. viii+492 pp

[2] T. Coates, A. Givental. *Quantum Riemann – Roch, Lefschetz and Serre.* arXiv: math.AG/0110142.

[3] B. Dubrovin. *Geometry of 2D topological filed theories.* In: Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups. Springer Lecture Notes in Math. 1620 (1996), 120–348.

[4] B. Dubrovin, Y. Zhang. *Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov – Witten invariants.* arXive: math.DG/0108160.

[5] A. Givental. *Gromov – Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic hamiltonians.* Moscow Mathematical Journal, v.1(2001), no. 4, 551–568.

[6] A. Givental. *Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus.* Intern. Math. Res. Notices, 2001, No. 23, 1265–1286.

[7] A. Givental. *Elliptic Gromov – Witten invariants and the generalized mirror conjecture.* In: Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1998, 107–155.

[8] A. Givental. *Simplectic geometry of Frobenius structures.* Preprint (2003), 20 pp.

[9] A. Givental, T. Milanov. *ADE singularities and intergrable hierarchies.* In preparation.

[10] C. Hertling. *Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2002, 280 pp.

[11] V. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras.* 3rd edition. Cambridge University Press, 1990, 400 pp.

[12] V. Kac, M. Wakimoto. *Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations.* Proceedings of the 1987 conference on theta functions in Maine, Proc. Symposia in Pure Math. **49**, 1989, 138 – 177.

[13] M. Kontsevich *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function.* Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992), 1 – 23.

[14] I. Krichever. *The dispersionless Lax equations and topological minimal models.* Commun. Math. Phys. **143** (1992), 415 – 429.

[15] Yu. I. Manin. *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces.* AMS Colloquium Publ. 47, Providence, RI, 1999, 303 pp.

[16] A. Polishchuk, A. Vaintrob. *Algebraic construction of Witten's top Chern class.* arXiv: math.AG/0011032.

[17] K. Saito. On a linear structure of the quotient variety by a finite reflection group. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 29 (1993), no. 4, 535–579.

[18] A. Schwarz. *On some mathematical problems of 2D-gravity and $W_h$-gravity.* Modern Physics Letters A, Vol. 6, No. 7 (1991), 611 – 616.

[19] G. Segal, G. Wilson. *Loop groups and equations of KdV type.* Publ. IHES, No. 61 (1985), 5–65.

[20] A.N. Varchenko, *Local residue and the intersection form in vanishing cohomology.* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 49 (1985), no. 1, 32–54; English translation in: Math. USSR Izvestiya, v. 26, no. 1 (1986), 31 – 52.

[21] E. Witten. *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space.* Surveys in Diff. Geom. 1 (1991), 243–310.

UC Berkeley